arXiv:1603.04692v2 [math.RT] 18 May 2016

IRREDUCIBLE ADMISSIBLE MOD-p REPRESENTATIONS OF METAPLECTIC GROUPS

KAROL KOZIOŁ AND LAURA PESKIN

Abstract. Let p be an odd prime number, and F a nonarchimedean local field of residual characteristic p. We classify the smooth, irreducible, admissible genuine mod-p representations of the twofold metaplectic cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2n}(F)$ of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ in terms of genuine supercuspidal (equivalently, supersingular) representations of Levi subgroups. To do so, we use results of Henniart–Vignéras as well as new technical results to adapt Herzig's method to the metaplectic setting. As consequences, we obtain an irreducibility criterion for principal series representations generalizing the complete irreducibility of principal series representations in the rank 1 case, as well as the fact that irreducibility is preserved by parabolic induction from the cover of the Siegel Levi subgroup.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Context.** Let p be an odd prime number, and F a nonarchimedean local field of residual characteristic p. The irreducible admissible mod-p representations of a connected reductive group over F have recently been classified up to supercuspidals by Abe–Henniart–Herzig–Vignéras [1], completing a line of research begun by Barthel–Livné in the 1990s ([3], [4]). Their classification is part of an ongoing effort to formulate a mod-p local Langlands correspondence, which aims to generalize the existing correspondence, due to Breuil [6], between certain two-dimensional mod-p representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and certain admissible mod-p representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. It is expected that such a correspondence would be compatible with a p-adic local Langlands correspondence; this is the case for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, where the p-adic correspondence is due to Colmez, Kisin, Paškūnas, and others (see [8], [17], [24]; see also [9] for a recent treatment, and [5] for an overview). Furthermore, it is expected that a mod-p local Langlands correspondence would reflect information about mod-p automorphic forms via generalizations of Serre's conjectures.

In the setting of $\mathbb{C}$-representations, recent advances have raised the possibility of incorporating covers of reductive algebraic groups into the local Langlands correspondences. So far, the results are most complete in the case of the metaplectic double cover of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$. In this case, Gan–Savin [10] produced a correspondence between $\mathbb{C}$-representations of the metaplectic double cover of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ and certain Weil-Deligne representations by composing the theta correspondence (properties of which were established in the necessary generality in *loc. cit.*) with the local Langlands correspondence for odd special orthogonal groups. More recently, work of Weissman ([32], [33]), Gan–Gao [11], and others has laid groundwork for conjectural local Langlands correspondences of $\mathbb{C}$-representations for a general class of covering groups. In particular, Weissman has constructed a candidate for the L-group of a Brylinski–Deligne cover of a quasisplit algebraic group, whose specialization to Gan–Savin's setting explains some surprising features of the local Langlands correspondence for the metaplectic cover of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ (see [11] §12).

As the two aforementioned programs develop, it is natural to ask what role the modular representations of covering groups might play. In the mod-ℓ setting, for ℓ sufficiently large, Mínguez [21] has shown the existence of a bijective theta correspondence for type II dual reductive pairs over a nonarchimedean local field of residual characteristic $p \neq \ell$; he also found that the analogous map can fail to be bijective for certain small values of ℓ. In the mod-p setting, Shin [28] notes that several key ingredients of the classical theta correspondence are no longer available at all, and he proposes new geometric constructions to take their place in a hypothetical mod-p theta correspondence. In particular, he constructs algebraic Weil representations of metaplectic group schemes which agree with the classical objects upon taking $\mathbb{C}$-coefficients, but whose specializations to $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-coefficients depend on the input of a p-divisible group and are *not*, in general, representations of the metaplectic double cover of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ considered here. Computations using Shin's constructions [27] raise intriguing questions, and probably require more data (particularly for type I dual reductive pairs) to interpret.

Date: May 19, 2016.

We aim to provide some initial information regarding the mod-p representation theory of metaplectic groups over local fields, in the hope that this will help shape hypothetical mod-p versions of the above correspondences.

1.2. **Main results.** Our main result is a classification of the smooth, irreducible, admissible, genuine mod-p representations of the metaplectic double cover of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ in terms of supercuspidal representations (from now on, "representation" will always mean "smooth $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-representation"). This generalizes a classification by the second-named author [25] for the metaplectic double cover of $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$. We will denote $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ by G and its metaplectic cover by $\widetilde{G}$, and we refer the reader to §2.2 for a construction of $\widetilde{G}$. Here we note only that there is an open, continuous surjection $\mathsf{pr} : \widetilde{G} \longrightarrow G$ with kernel isomorphic to $\{\pm 1\}$, and that a *genuine* representation of $\widetilde{G}$ is one which does not factor through pr. We also fix a maximal torus T in G, and a Borel subgroup B containing T. Given any closed subgroup H of G, we let $\widetilde{H}$ denote the subgroup $\mathsf{pr}^{-1}(H)$ of $\widetilde{G}$.

We closely follow the existing classifications of irreducible admissible representations of p-adic reductive groups ([2] in the split case, [1] in general) which build on the techniques introduced by Herzig in [14]. In particular, our classification is expressed in terms of *supersingular triples* $(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$. Here P is a standard parabolic subgroup of G (that is, a parabolic subgroup containing B), σ is a genuine *supersingular* representation (we will discuss this term presently) of the Levi factor of $\widetilde{P}$, and $\widetilde{Q}^-$ is the preimage in $\widetilde{G}$ of a standard parabolic subgroup of G satisfying some conditions with respect to $\widetilde{P}^-$ and σ.

Given a supersingular triple $(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$, we define a certain parabolically induced genuine $\widetilde{G}$-representation, denoted by $I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$. After defining two supersingular triples $(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$, $(\widetilde{P}'^-, \sigma', \widetilde{Q}'^-)$ to be equivalent if $\widetilde{P}^- = \widetilde{P}'^-$, $\widetilde{Q}^- = \widetilde{Q}'^-$, and $\sigma \cong \sigma'$, we obtain the following classification as our main result:

Theorem (Theorem 4.13)**.** *The map* $(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-) \longmapsto I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$ *gives a bijection between equivalence classes of supersingular triples and isomorphism classes of irreducible admissible genuine representations of* $\widetilde{G}$*.*

We now discuss the ingredients needed to state the classification more precisely.

1. *Supersingular representations.* We refer the reader to Definition 4.4 for the precise definition of supersingularity in our context. The notion of a *supersingular* representation was introduced by Barthel–Livné ([4], [3]) in the case of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, and a generalized definition for split reductive groups was made by Herzig ([14] §1.2.1) using the mod-p Satake transform of [15]. The central piece of the definition is a condition on the systems of eigenvalues which occur in weight spaces of a representation under the action of the associated spherical Hecke algebras: no such system of eigenvalues may factor through a Satake transform to a spherical Hecke algebra of any proper Levi subgroup. Herzig also requires supersingular representations to be irreducible and admissible (admissibility was not required by [4], [3]), and shows that supersingularity is equivalent to supercuspidality for this class of representations. We essentially adopt Herzig's definition (adding a genuineness condition), using results of Henniart–Vignéras [13] which guarantee that Herzig's mod-p Satake transform adapts well to the metaplectic case. For the appropriate definition of supercuspidality in our case, supersingularity is again equivalent to supercuspidality (see below).

2. *Generalized Steinberg representations.* Let $P \subset Q$ be two standard parabolic subgroups of G, and let $P^- \subset Q^-$ denote the respective opposite parabolics. The *generalized Steinberg representation* associated to the pair (P^-, Q^-) is defined to be

$$\mathrm{St}_{P^-}^{Q^-} := \mathrm{Ind}_{P^-}^{Q^-}(1) \Big/ \sum_{Q'} \mathrm{Ind}_{Q'^-}^{Q^-}(1),$$

where the sum runs over standard parabolic subgroups Q' such that $P^- \subsetneq Q'^- \subset Q^-$, and where 1 denotes the trivial representation of each group Q' in turn. Each $\mathrm{St}_{P^-}^{Q^-}$ is an irreducible admissible representation of Q^- (see [19], Théorème 3.1, and note that the unipotent radical of Q^- acts trivially). In the following, we will let $\mathrm{St}_{P^-}^{Q^-}$ denote the representation of $\widetilde{Q}^-$ obtained by inflating the generalized Steinberg representation of Q^- (note that this inflation is not a genuine representation of $\widetilde{Q}^-$).

3. *Supersingular triples.* Let P be a standard parabolic subgroup of G with Levi factor M, and let σ be a genuine supersingular representation of $\widetilde{M}$. We define a certain standard Levi subgroup $M(\sigma) \supset M$ of G such

that σ extends uniquely to a genuine representation ${}^e\sigma$ of the preimage $\widetilde{M}(\sigma)$ (see §4 for an explicit definition of $M(\sigma)$). Let $P(\sigma)$ be the standard parabolic subgroup of G whose Levi factor is $M(\sigma)$, and let Q be another standard parabolic subgroup of G. We say that $(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$ is a *supersingular triple* if $\widetilde{P}^- \subset \widetilde{Q}^- \subset \widetilde{P}(\sigma)^-$.

4. *$\widetilde{G}$-representation associated to a supersingular triple.* Let $(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$ be a supersingular triple, let $\widetilde{P}(\sigma)$ denote the parabolic subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$ mentioned in the previous point, and inflate ${}^e\sigma$ from $\widetilde{M}(\sigma)$ to $\widetilde{P}(\sigma)^-$. We then define

$$I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-) := \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}(\sigma)^-}^{\widetilde{G}} \left({}^e\sigma \otimes \mathrm{St}_{Q^-}^{P(\sigma)^-} \right).$$

Following [1], we show in Proposition 4.9 that $I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$ is irreducible, admissible, and genuine whenever $(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$ is a supersingular triple.

Using the classification theorem above, we obtain several consequences. We say that an irreducible admissible genuine representation π of $\widetilde{G}$ is *supercuspidal* if π is not isomorphic to a subquotient of the parabolic induction of an irreducible admissible genuine representation of a proper Levi subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$. We then have:

Theorem (Proposition 4.14)**.** *Let π be an irreducible admissible genuine representation of $\widetilde{G}$. Then π is supersingular if and only if π is supercuspidal.*

Next, let P_{S} denote the (standard) Siegel parabolic subgroup, and $M_{\mathrm{S}} \cong \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ its standard Levi factor. The classification theorem above, together with the analogous result in the reductive case, implies that parabolic induction from $\widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}$ preserves irreducibility:

Theorem (Corollary 4.17)**.** *Let σ be an irreducible admissible genuine representation of $\widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}$. The parabolic induction $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}_{\mathrm{S}}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma)$ is then an irreducible admissible genuine representation of $\widetilde{G}$.*

In addition to the above, we also obtain the following information about the genuine principal series representations of $\widetilde{G}$. Here Π denotes the set of simple roots of G with respect to T and B, and for $\alpha \in \Pi$, $\widetilde{\alpha}^\vee$ denotes a certain canonical map $F^\times \longrightarrow \widetilde{T}$ (which is *not* a homomorphism in general), lifting the coroot $\alpha^\vee : F^\times \longrightarrow T$; see §2.3.

Theorem (Corollary 4.18)**.** *Let σ be a genuine character of $\widetilde{T}$.*

1. *The length of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma)$ is at most 2^{n-1}, and is equal to 2^{n-1} if and only if $\sigma \circ \widetilde{\alpha}^\vee(x) = 1$ for every $x \in F^\times$ and every short root $\alpha \in \Pi$.*
2. *The representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma)$ is irreducible if and only if, for every short root $\alpha \in \Pi$, there exists $x_\alpha \in F^\times$ such that $\sigma \circ \widetilde{\alpha}^\vee(x_\alpha) \neq 1$.*
3. *Let σ' be a genuine character of $\widetilde{T}$ such that $\sigma \neq \sigma'$. Then $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma)$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma')$ are inequivalent.*

We thus get an irreducibility criterion for principal series representations of $\widetilde{G}$ which involves fewer conditions than the analogous criterion for G (compare [2], Theorem 1.3). Our irreducibility criterion generalizes the fact ([25]) that $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(F)$ has no degenerate mod-p principal series representations, and therefore no obvious mod-p analog of the nonsupercuspidal elementary Weil representation.

1.3. **Techniques.** In most respects, the methods of Herzig [14], Abe [2], and Abe–Henniart–Herzig–Vignéras [1] for reductive groups over F turn out to go through for the metaplectic group $\widetilde{G}$ with only minor adaptations. The work of Henniart–Vignéras in [13] and [12] establishes many of the technical ingredients of Herzig's method for a large class of groups including $\widetilde{G}$; others are easily adapted from the reductive case (see §2.6). Consequently, whenever a proof requires only minor cosmetic changes from the analogous proof in the reductive case, we often simply give a reference to the literature. For the sake of completeness, however, we have attempted to give a more detailed account of the classification proof (§4).

The main difference between the metaplectic and reductive cases appears in the *change-of-weight* step, in which one finds a criterion for the existence of an isomorphism

$$\chi \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})} \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \chi \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}')} \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{T}}(\widetilde{V}').$$

Here, $\widetilde{V}$ and $\widetilde{V}'$ are irreducible genuine representations of the maximal compact subgroup $\widetilde{K} \subset \widetilde{G}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})$ denotes the Hecke algebra of $\widetilde{G}$-intertwiners of the compact induction $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})$ (and likewise for $\widetilde{V}'$), and χ

denotes an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-character of $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})$ (viewed also as a character of $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}')$ via a natural identification of the two algebras). We find a criterion (Theorem 3.1) for the existence of such an isomorphism which is strictly weaker than the analogous criterion for G. (See [2], Theorem 4.1 for the change-of-weight criterion applicable to G.) This weakening of the change-of-weight criterion is responsible for the relative weakness of the irreducibility criteria of Corollary 4.17 and Corollary 4.18, as compared to the criteria for representations of G.

The proof of our change-of-weight criterion is given in §3, and involves computing the Satake transform of a certain Hecke operator. In §4, after adapting the notion of supersingularity to our context and modifying the change-of-weight criterion as per the result of §3, we continue with the classification as in the reductive case.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Florian Herzig for suggesting the alternate proof of Proposition 3.3 in the case of a short simple root. Some of this work was carried out while the first-named author visited the University of British Columbia, and both authors wish to thank the institution and Rachel Ollivier for their support. The first-named author was supported by NSF grant DMS-1400779 and an EPDI fellowship.

2. Notation and Preliminary Results

Let p be an odd prime and F a nonarchimedean local field of residual characteristic p. We let $\mathcal{O}$ denote the ring of integers of F, $\varpi \in \mathcal{O}$ a fixed uniformizer, and $\mathfrak{k}$ the residue field $\mathcal{O}/\varpi\mathcal{O}$. We fix an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathfrak{k}}$ of $\mathfrak{k}$, and denote the order of $\mathfrak{k}$ by q. Furthermore, we let $\mu_2 := \mu_2(F) = \{\pm 1\}$ denote the group of square roots of unity in F, and let

$$(-,-)_F : F^\times \times F^\times \longrightarrow \mu_2$$

denote the quadratic Hilbert symbol.

2.1. **Symplectic groups.** Fix an integer $n \geq 1$ and let $G := \mathbf{Sp}_{2n}$ denote the symplectic group of rank n, defined and split over F. We will abuse notation and write G for $G(F)$, the group of F-points (and similarly for other algebraic groups over F). We fix a split maximal torus T, and we choose a hyperspecial point in the corresponding apartment of the Bruhat–Tits building of G. Such a point gives a connected reductive integral model of G over $\mathcal{O}$, and we continue to denote this model by G. We set $K := G(\mathcal{O})$, which is a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup. The finite group of $\mathfrak{k}$-points of G will be denoted $G(\mathfrak{k})$.

Let $X^*(T)$ (respectively, $X_*(T)$) denote the group of algebraic characters (resp., cocharacters) of T (or, more precisely, of the algebraic group defining T). Let $\Phi \subset X^*(T)$ denote the root system of G with respect to T, which is a root system of type C_n. We fix a set of simple roots

$$\Pi := \{\alpha_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\},$$

labeled so that α_n is the unique long simple root and so that the roots α_i and α_{i+1} are adjacent in the Dynkin diagram of G. We fix a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{\chi_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ for $X^*(T)$, where $2\chi_n := \alpha_n$ and $\chi_i := \alpha_i + \chi_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let

$$\langle -,- \rangle : X^*(T) \times X_*(T) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

denote the natural perfect pairing, and let $\alpha_i^\vee \in X_*(T)$ denote the coroot corresponding to α_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$ (normalized so that $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 2$). We fix a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{\lambda_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ for $X_*(T)$, where $\lambda_n := \alpha_n^\vee$ and $\lambda_i := \alpha_i + \lambda_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Note that $\langle \chi_i, \lambda_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$.

The simple roots define a partition $\Phi = \Phi^+ \sqcup \Phi^-$, and a partial order $\leq$ on $X_*(T)$: we say $\lambda \leq \mu$ if $\mu - \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \alpha_i^\vee$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Moreover, the set Π also defines the monoid $X_*(T)_-$ of antidominant cocharacters, given by

$$X_*(T)_- := \{\lambda \in X_*(T) : \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \leq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi\}.$$

For $\alpha \in \Phi$, we let U_α denote the root subgroup associated to α, and let $u_\alpha : F \xrightarrow{\sim} U_\alpha$ denote a fixed root morphism such that the set $\{u_\alpha : \alpha \in \Phi\}$ satisfies the properties of Lemma 8.1.4 of [29]. In particular, $tu_\alpha(x)t^{-1} = u_\alpha(\alpha(t)x)$ for $t \in T$, $x \in F$. Furthermore, since G is (the group of F-points of) a Chevalley group, we may and will choose our root morphisms so that all structure constants $c_{\alpha,\beta;j,k}$ appearing in the commutator formula (for $\beta \neq \pm\alpha$)

$$[u_\alpha(x), u_\beta(y)] := u_\alpha(x)u_\beta(y)u_\alpha(x)^{-1}u_\beta(y)^{-1} = \prod_{\substack{j,k>0 \\ j\alpha+k\beta \in \Phi}} u_{j\alpha+k\beta}(c_{\alpha,\beta;j,k}x^j y^k) \tag{1}$$

belong to $\mathbb{Z}$ (where the product is taken with respect to some fixed total order on Φ). We will also assume that the subgroup K is generated by $\{u_\alpha(x) : \alpha \in \Phi,\, x \in \mathcal{O}\}$.

Let B denote the Borel subgroup of G with respect to Π, and let U denote the unipotent radical of B. Thus B is generated by T and U_α for $\alpha \in \Phi^+$. We let $B^- = T \ltimes U^-$ denote the opposite Borel subgroup. More generally, the notation $P = M \ltimes N$ will be used to denote parabolic subgroups of G, while $P^- = M \ltimes N^-$ denotes the opposite parabolic. We say $P = M \ltimes N$ is a *standard parabolic subgroup* if P is a parabolic subgroup containing B, N is its unipotent radical contained in U, and M is a Levi subgroup containing T. Moreover, we say a subgroup of G is a *standard Levi subgroup* if it is the Levi factor of a standard parabolic subgroup.

Standard parabolic subgroups correspond bijectively to subsets of Π. For a subset $J \subset \Pi$, we let $P_J = M_J \ltimes N_J$ denote the corresponding standard parabolic subgroup. Reciprocally, for a standard parabolic subgroup $P = M \ltimes N$, we will denote by Π_M the corresponding subset of Π. For $\lambda \in X_*(T)_-$, we will write $P_\lambda = M_\lambda \ltimes N_\lambda$ for standard parabolic corresponding to the subset $\{\alpha \in \Pi : \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle = 0\}$; see [29], §13.4.2 and 15.4.4. We define the *Siegel parabolic subgroup* $P_{\mathrm{S}} = M_{\mathrm{S}} \ltimes N_{\mathrm{S}}$ as the standard parabolic corresponding to the subset

$$\Pi_{\mathrm{S}} := \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}.$$

The Siegel Levi M_{S} is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$.

We will also need to consider the symplectic similitude group, so we set notation for it here. Let $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(F)$ be the group of F-points of $\mathbf{GSp}_{2n}$, defined and split over F, and chosen such that $\mathbf{Sp}_{2n} \subset \mathbf{GSp}_{2n}$. The group $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(F)$ is the group of linear transformations of a $2n$-dimensional vector space over F which preserve a fixed symplectic form up to a nonzero scalar. The torus T of G is contained in a (split) maximal torus T_G of $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(F)$, and we let λ_{n+1} denote a fixed cocharacter of T_G such that $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1}\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for $X_*(T_G)$. We then have $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(F) \cong \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F) \rtimes \lambda_{n+1}(F^\times)$.

2.2. **Covering groups.** We now discuss covers of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ and $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(F)$. Our main reference is [11] (especially §16.1 and §16.3), which in turn is based on [7].

The general framework of [7] shows how to construct central extensions of the form

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}_2 \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{GSp}}_{2n} \longrightarrow \mathbf{GSp}_{2n} \longrightarrow 1$$

as sheaves of groups on the big Zariski site of $\mathrm{Spec}(F)$, where $\mathbf{K}_2$ is the sheaf of groups associated to K_2 in Quillen's K-theory. By Theorem 6.2 of *loc. cit.* (see also §2.5 of [11]), the category of such extensions is equivalent to a category whose objects are triples $(Q, \mathcal{E}, f)$, where

$$Q : \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathbb{Z}\lambda_i \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

is a quadratic form invariant under the Weyl group of $\mathbf{GSp}_{2n}$, $\mathcal{E}$ is a certain group extension, and f is a certain isomorphism. The precise definitions of $\mathcal{E}$ and f are not relevant for our purposes, but let us only mention that for every choice of Q as above, there exist $\mathcal{E}$ and f for which the triple $(Q, \mathcal{E}, f)$ satisfies the necessary coherence properties (cf. [11], §2.6).

Let Q denote the Weyl-invariant quadratic form defined by

$$Q\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i\lambda_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(a_i^2 + a_i a_{n+1}).$$

Note in particular that $Q(\alpha_i^\vee) = 2$ if $1 \le i \le n-1$ and $Q(\alpha_n^\vee) = 1$. We fix choices of $\mathcal{E}$ and f for which the triple $(Q, \mathcal{E}, f)$ satisfies the relevant coherence conditions, and we let $\overline{\mathbf{GSp}}_{2n}$ denote the associated extension of $\mathbf{GSp}_{2n}$ by $\mathbf{K}_2$. Since $\mathrm{H}^1(F, \mathbf{K}_2) = 0$, upon taking F-points we obtain

$$1 \longrightarrow K_2(F) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{GSp}}_{2n}(F) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(F) \longrightarrow 1,$$

and we define the topological group $\widetilde{\mathrm{GSp}}_{2n}(F)$ by pushing out the above exact sequence by the quadratic Hilbert symbol $(-,-)_F : K_2(F) \longrightarrow \mu_2$:

$$1 \longrightarrow \mu_2 \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{GSp}}_{2n}(F) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(F) \longrightarrow 1.$$

We shall need a more explicit description of the maximal torus of $\widetilde{\mathrm{GSp}}_{2n}(F)$. Fix two cocharacters λ, λ' of the torus of $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(F)$, and let $x, y \in F^\times$. Letting $\widetilde{\lambda}(x)$ and $\widetilde{\lambda}'(y)$ denote arbitrary lifts of $\lambda(x), \lambda'(y)$ to $\widetilde{\mathrm{GSp}}_{2n}(F)$, we obtain the following commutator formula (cf. [11], §3.3):

$$\left[\widetilde{\lambda}(x), \widetilde{\lambda}'(y)\right] = (x,y)_F^{Q(\lambda+\lambda')-Q(\lambda)-Q(\lambda')}. \tag{2}$$

In particular, if $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i\lambda_i$ and $\lambda' = \lambda_{n+1}$, we obtain

$$\left[\widetilde{\lambda}(x), \widetilde{\lambda}'(y)\right] = (x,y)_F^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}, \tag{3}$$

while if $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i\lambda_i$ and $\lambda' = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i'\lambda_i$, then

$$\left[\widetilde{\lambda}(x), \widetilde{\lambda}'(y)\right] = (x,y)_F^{\sum_{i=1}^n 2a_ia_i'} = 1. \tag{4}$$

Finally, we define $\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2n}(F)$ to be the pullback to G of the cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{GSp}}_{2n}(F) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(F)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mu_2 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathrm{GSp}}_{2n}(F) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(F) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \| & & \uparrow & \square & \uparrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mu_2 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{G} & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{pr}} & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

Here, $\mathsf{pr} : \widetilde{G} \longrightarrow G$ denotes the projection map, which is continuous and open. We will identify μ_2 with its image in $\widetilde{G}$ via the injection above.

The group $\widetilde{G}$ is isomorphic to the classical metaplectic double cover constructed by Weil (see §16 of [11], §4.3 of [32], or Proposition 4.15 of [7]). When necessary, we will work with coordinates on $\widetilde{G}$ as follows: as a set, we identify $\widetilde{G}$ with $G \times \mu_2$, with product given by $(g,\zeta)\cdot(g',\zeta') = (gg', \sigma(g,g')\zeta\zeta')$. Here, σ denotes Rao's cocycle (cf. [26]), whose image in $\mathrm{H}^2(G,\mu_2) \cong \mu_2$ gives the nontrivial class. In particular, if $m, m' \in M_{\mathrm{S}}$, we have

$$(m,\zeta)\cdot(m',\zeta') = (mm', (\det_{M_{\mathrm{S}}}(m), \det_{M_{\mathrm{S}}}(m'))_F\zeta\zeta') \tag{5}$$

(cf. *loc. cit.*, Lemma 5.1(iii) and Corollary 5.5(2)).

We state one final fact, which is implicit in many calculations below. Let $g, g' \in G$, and let $\widetilde{g}, \widetilde{g}' \in \widetilde{G}$ be arbitrary choices of lifts. By 2.II.1 Proposition and 2.II.5 Lemme of [22], the elements g and g' commute in G if and only if $\widetilde{g}$ and $\widetilde{g}'$ commute in $\widetilde{G}$. Note that this is not true for $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(F)$ and its double cover (cf. formula (2)).

2.3. **Splittings and distinguished elements.** The cover $\widetilde{G} \longrightarrow G$ splits over certain subgroups of G, as follows. If N is any unipotent subgroup of G, then §3.2 of [11] shows that the extension splits uniquely over N. Likewise, §4.4 of *loc. cit.* shows that the extension also splits uniquely over the maximal compact subgroup K (our assumption that $p \neq 2$ is necessary here). We remark that one may prove these results in a much more "hands-on" way by computing $\mathrm{H}^1(-,\mu_2)$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(-,\mu_2)$ (and utilizing §11 of [23]). Similar cohomological arguments show that the extension splits uniquely over $N \cap K$, and therefore the splittings over N and K must agree on $N \cap K$.

If H is any closed subgroup of G, we will denote by

$$\widetilde{H} := \mathsf{pr}^{-1}(H)$$

the preimage of H in $\widetilde{G}$. If H is either K or a unipotent subgroup of G (or the intersection thereof), we will write H^* for the image of H under its unique splitting, which gives $\widetilde{H} \cong H^* \times \mu_2$. If H is some closed subgroup of K (for example, $M \cap K$ for some standard Levi M), we will use H^* to denote the image of H in K^* by the splitting over K (note that the splitting over such an H is not unique in general). Additionally, the factorization $P = M \ltimes N$ of a standard parabolic subgroup lifts to $\widetilde{P} = \widetilde{M} \ltimes N^*$, and we refer to $\widetilde{P}$ as a standard parabolic subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$.

Let $\alpha \in \Phi$. Since the cover $\widetilde{G} \longrightarrow G$ splits uniquely over U_α, we may compose the root morphism u_α with the splitting to obtain a homomorphism

$$\widetilde{u}_\alpha : F \xrightarrow{\sim} U_\alpha^* \subset \widetilde{U}_\alpha.$$

Given this, for $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $x \in F^\times$ we define

$$\widetilde{\alpha}^\vee(x) := \widetilde{u}_\alpha(x)\widetilde{u}_{-\alpha}(-x^{-1})\widetilde{u}_\alpha(x-1)\widetilde{u}_{-\alpha}(1)\widetilde{u}_\alpha(-1).$$

The element $\widetilde{\alpha}^\vee(x) \in \widetilde{T}$ is a preimage of $\alpha^\vee(x) \in T$. Note that $\widetilde{\alpha}^\vee$ is *not* a homomorphism in general.

Since the group G is simply connected, we have $X_*(T) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i^\vee$. Given $\lambda \in X_*(T)$, we write $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i\alpha_i^\vee$, and define

$$\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi) := \prod_{i=1}^n \widetilde{\alpha}_i^\vee(\varpi)^{a_i}.$$

The element $\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi) \in \widetilde{T}$ is a preimage of $\lambda(\varpi) \in T$. Moreover, since $\widetilde{T}$ is commutative (cf. equation (4)), the map $\lambda \longmapsto \widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)$ is an injective homomorphism which induces an isomorphism $X_*(T) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{T}/(\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K})$.

2.4. **Representations and weights.** All representations will have coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ unless noted otherwise. Let H be any closed subgroup of G or of $\widetilde{G}$, and V a representation of H. The representation V is called *smooth* if for every vector $v \in V$ the stabilizer of v is an open subgroup of H. All representations appearing will be assumed to be smooth. We denote by V^H (resp., V_H) the subspace of invariants (resp., coinvariants) under the action of H. We say V is *admissible* if V^J is finite dimensional over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ for every open subgroup J of H. Finally, let $\varepsilon : \mu_2 \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$ denote the nontrivial character of μ_2. If H is any closed subgroup of G and $\widetilde{H}$ its preimage in $\widetilde{G}$, then a representation V of $\widetilde{H}$ is called *genuine* if $\zeta \cdot v = \varepsilon(\zeta)v$ for all $\zeta \in \mu_2$.

A *weight of* K is an irreducible representation of K, and a *weight of* $\widetilde{K}$ is a genuine irreducible representation of $\widetilde{K}$. Every weight of $\widetilde{K}$ is of the form $\widetilde{V} = V \boxtimes \varepsilon$, where V is a weight of K viewed as a representation of K^* via the canonical splitting of the extension over K.

Let $X_q(T)$ denote the set of q-restricted weights of T, i.e.,

$$X_q(T) := \{\chi \in X^*(T) : 0 \le \langle \chi, \alpha^\vee \rangle < q \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi\},$$

and also define

$$X^0(T) := \{\chi \in X^*(T) : \langle \chi, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi\}.$$

Given a character $\nu \in X^*(T)$ which satisfies $\langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle \ge 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Pi$, we let $F(\nu)$ denote the associated algebraic representation of $G(\overline{\mathfrak{k}})$ of highest weight ν. By Proposition 2.2 of [14], every weight of $\widetilde{K}$ is equal to $\widetilde{F}(\nu) := F(\nu) \boxtimes \varepsilon$ for some $\nu \in X_q(T)$, where we view $F(\nu)$ as a representation of K via $K \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow G(\mathfrak{k}) \hookrightarrow G(\overline{\mathfrak{k}})$. Moreover, $\widetilde{F}(\nu) \cong \widetilde{F}(\nu')$ if and only if $\nu - \nu' \in (q-1)X^0(T)$. If M is a standard Levi subgroup of G, then we will refer to genuine irreducible representations of $\widetilde{M} \cap \widetilde{K}$ as *weights of* $\widetilde{M} \cap \widetilde{K}$, or just *weights of* $\widetilde{M}$. We denote the analogs of $X_q(T)$, $X^0(T)$, and $\widetilde{F}(\nu)$ by $X_q^M(T)$, $X_M^0(T)$, and $\widetilde{F}_{\widetilde{M}}(\nu)$, respectively.

Finally, for $\nu \in X_q(T)$, we set

$$\Pi_\nu := \{\alpha \in \Pi : \langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0\},$$

and given a standard Levi subgroup $\widetilde{M}$, we say $\widetilde{V} = \widetilde{F}(\nu)$ is *$\widetilde{M}$-regular* if $\Pi_\nu \subset \Pi_M$.

Fix now two standard parabolic subgroups $P \subset Q$ of G, and let $M \subset L$ denote their Levi subgroups. Let N_1 denote the intersection of L with the unipotent radical of P, so that $\widetilde{M} \ltimes N_1^*$ is a parabolic subgroup of $\widetilde{L}$. We then have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\widetilde{V}$ be a weight of $\widetilde{L}$ and let $\widetilde{M} \ltimes N_1^*$ be a standard parabolic of $\widetilde{L}$. Then:*

(1) $\widetilde{V}^{(N_1 \cap K)^*}$ and $\widetilde{V}_{(N_1^- \cap K)^*}$ are weights of $\widetilde{M}$;

(2) the natural, $\widetilde{M} \cap \widetilde{K}$-linear map $\widetilde{V}^{(N_1 \cap K)^*} \longrightarrow \widetilde{V}_{(N_1^- \cap K)^*}$ is an isomorphism;

(3) $\widetilde{V}^{(U \cap L \cap K)^*} \cong \widetilde{V}_{(U^- \cap L \cap K)^*}$ is one-dimensional;

(4) if $\widetilde{V} = \widetilde{F}_{\widetilde{L}}(\nu)$ for some $\nu \in X_q^L(T)$, then $\widetilde{V}^{(N_1 \cap K)^*} \cong \widetilde{F}_{\widetilde{M}}(\nu)$.

Proof. This follows from [14], Lemma 2.3 by identifying the underlying vector spaces of $\widetilde{V}$ and V. □

Now let $\widetilde{H} \subset \widetilde{L}$ denote two closed subgroups of $\widetilde{G}$ which both contain the image of μ_2 in $\widetilde{G}$. We let $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{H}}^{\widetilde{L}}(-)$ and $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{H}}^{\widetilde{L}}(-)$ denote the functors of induction and compact induction, respectively, from the category of smooth $\widetilde{H}$-representations to the category of smooth $\widetilde{L}$-representations. These functors preserve

genuineness. If $\widetilde{H}$ is an open subgroup of $\widetilde{L}$, then the functor $\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{H}}^{\widetilde{L}}(-)$ is exact, and Frobenius reciprocity gives an isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{L}}(\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{H}}^{\widetilde{L}}(\sigma),\pi)\cong\mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{H}}(\sigma,\pi\big|_{\widetilde{H}})$, natural in both arguments.

Assume now that $\widetilde{L}$ is a standard Levi subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$ and $\widetilde{H}$ is a standard parabolic subgroup of $\widetilde{L}$ with Levi subgroup $\widetilde{M}$. We view smooth representations of $\widetilde{M}$ as smooth representations of $\widetilde{H}^-$ via inflation. The functor $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{H}^-}^{\widetilde{L}}(-)$ from smooth $\widetilde{M}$-representations to smooth $\widetilde{L}$-representations is then an exact functor which preserves admissibility (cf. [31], Propositions 4.3 and 4.8). We also have the functor of ordinary parts $\mathrm{Ord}_{\widetilde{H}}^{\widetilde{L}}(-)$, from the category of smooth $\widetilde{L}$-representations to the category of smooth $\widetilde{M}$-representations (cf. *loc. cit.*). This functor preserves admissibility and genuineness, and is a right adjoint to $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{H}^-}^{\widetilde{L}}(-)$ (*loc. cit.*, Corollary 8.3). Moreover, Corollary 8.4 of *loc. cit.* shows that we have a functorial isomorphism $\sigma\cong\mathrm{Ord}_{\widetilde{H}}^{\widetilde{L}}\circ\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{H}^-}^{\widetilde{L}}(\sigma)$ for any admissible genuine $\widetilde{M}$-representation σ.

We adopt similar notations for subgroups of G.

2.5. **Subgroup decompositions in $\widetilde{G}$.** In what follows, we will need to make use of several subgroup decompositions in $\widetilde{G}$. In general, these are deduced from similar decompositions in the group G using the map pr^{-1}. For instance, given a standard parabolic subgroup P of G, we may lift the Iwasawa decomposition $G=P^-K$ via pr^{-1} to obtain

$$\widetilde{G}=\widetilde{P}^-\widetilde{K}=\widetilde{P}^-K^*.$$

Likewise the refined Cartan decomposition $G=\bigsqcup_{\lambda\in X_*(T)_-}K\lambda(\varpi)K$ lifts to

$$\widetilde{G}=\bigsqcup_{\lambda\in X_*(T)_-}\widetilde{K}\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)\widetilde{K},$$

and one may further refine this decomposition to

$$\widetilde{G}=\bigsqcup_{\substack{\lambda\in X_*(T)_-\\ \zeta\in\mu_2}}K^*\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)\cdot\zeta K^*$$

(note that the disjointness of the last union is implied by Theorem 9.2 of [20], whose proof remains valid in our situation).

2.6. **Recollections on the Satake map.** We recall some basic properties of Satake maps. Since the groups $\widetilde{G}$, $\widetilde{P}^-$, $\widetilde{K}$, and the relevant Levi subgroups satisfy axioms (A1), (A2), 2.5(i), 2.5(ii), (C1), (C2), and the assumptions of §2.8 of [13], we may apply the general formalism of §2 of *loc. cit.* and §2 of [12].

Fix two standard parabolic subgroups $P\subset Q$ of G, and let $M\subset L$ denote their respective Levi factors. We again let N_1 denote the intersection of L with the unipotent radical of P, so that $\widetilde{M}\ltimes N_1^*$ is a parabolic subgroup of $\widetilde{L}$. We also fix a weight $\widetilde{V}$ of $\widetilde{L}\cap\widetilde{K}$, and define

$$\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V}):=\mathrm{End}_{\widetilde{L}}\left(\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{L}\cap\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V})\right).$$

As in §2 of [12], we identify elements of $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V})$ with functions $\varphi:\widetilde{L}\longrightarrow\mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(\widetilde{V})$ which satisfy

- $\varphi(k_1\ell k_2)=k_1\circ\varphi(\ell)\circ k_2$, where $k_i\in\widetilde{L}\cap\widetilde{K},\ell\in\widetilde{L}$, and where the k_i appearing on the right-hand side denote the corresponding automorphism of $\widetilde{V}$;
- the support of φ is compact.

The product structure on (this realization of) $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V})$ is given by convolution. By the refined Cartan decomposition, a function $\varphi\in\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V})$ is determined by its values on the elements $\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)$, where $\lambda\in X_*(T)_{L,-}:=\{\lambda\in X_*(T):\langle\alpha,\lambda\rangle\leq 0\text{ for all }\alpha\in\Pi_L\}$.

By §2 of *loc. cit.*, we have a *Satake morphism*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{L}}^{\widetilde{M}}:\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V})\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{V}_{(N_1^-\cap K)^*}),$$

which, by Proposition 2.2 of *loc. cit.*, is given by

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{L}}^{\widetilde{M}}(\varphi)(m)(\overline{v})=\sum_{n\in(N_1^-\cap K)^*\backslash N_1^{-,*}}\overline{\varphi(nm)(v)},\tag{6}$$

where $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V}), m \in \widetilde{M}, v \in \widetilde{V}$, and the bar indicates the projection $\widetilde{V} \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\rightarrow \widetilde{V}_{(N_1^- \cap K)^*}$. The map $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{L}}^{\widetilde{M}}$ preserves the convolution product, and is therefore an algebra homomorphism.

The necessary properties of the algebras $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V})$ and the map $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{L}}^{\widetilde{M}}$ are summarized in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2.

(1) *Fix $\lambda \in X_*(T)_{L,-}$. Then the space of functions in $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V})$ supported on $(\widetilde{L} \cap \widetilde{K})\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)(\widetilde{L} \cap \widetilde{K})$ is one-dimensional. A generator for this space is given by $T_\lambda^{\widetilde{L}}$, where $T_\lambda^{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi))$ is the map*

$$\widetilde{V} \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\rightarrow \widetilde{V}_{(N_{-\lambda}^- \cap K)^*} \xleftarrow{\sim} \widetilde{V}^{(N_{-\lambda} \cap K)^*} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{V}.$$

This map is a projection.

(2) *If $\widetilde{L}_1 \subset \widetilde{L}_2 \subset \widetilde{L}_3$ are standard Levi subgroups corresponding to standard parabolic subgroups $\widetilde{P}_1 \subset \widetilde{P}_2 \subset \widetilde{P}_3$, we then have*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{L}_3}^{\widetilde{L}_1} = \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{L}_2}^{\widetilde{L}_1} \circ \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{L}_3}^{\widetilde{L}_2}.$$

(3) *Fix an element $\lambda_0 \in X_*(T)$ which satisfies $\langle \alpha, \lambda_0 \rangle < 0$ for $\alpha \in \Pi_L \smallsetminus \Pi_M$ and $\langle \alpha, \lambda_0 \rangle = 0$ for $\alpha \in \Pi_M$. Then the map $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{L}}^{\widetilde{M}} : \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{V}_{(N_1^- \cap K)^*})$ is a localization at $T_{\lambda_0}^{\widetilde{M}}$; that is, $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{L}}^{\widetilde{M}}$ is injective, $T_{\lambda_0}^{\widetilde{M}} \in \mathrm{im}(\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{L}}^{\widetilde{M}})$ is central and invertible, and*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{V}_{(N_1^- \cap K)^*}) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{L}}^{\widetilde{M}} \left(\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V}) \right) \cdot (T_{\lambda_0}^{\widetilde{M}})^{-n}.$$

(4) *The algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V})$ is commutative.*

(5) *Assume $\widetilde{M} = \widetilde{T}$. Then the image of the map $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{L}}^{\widetilde{T}}$ is supported in the union of cosets of the form $(\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K})\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)(\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K})$ for $\lambda \in X_*(T)_{L,-}$. More precisely, for $\lambda \in X_*(T)_{L,-}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{L}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_\lambda^{\widetilde{L}}) = \sum_{\substack{\mu \in X_*(T)_{L,-} \\ \mu \geq_L \lambda}} c_\lambda(\mu) \tau_\mu,$$

where $c_\lambda(\mu) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, c_\lambda(\lambda) = 1$, and $\leq_L$ is the partial order on $X_*(T)$ defined by Π_L.

(6) *Assume $\widetilde{L} = \widetilde{G}$, $\lambda \in X_*(T)_-$, and $\widetilde{V} = \widetilde{F}(\nu)$ is a weight of $\widetilde{K}$ which is $\widetilde{M}$-regular. Suppose that either $\Pi_\nu = \Pi_M$, or that $\widetilde{V}$ is $\widetilde{M}_\lambda$-regular. We then have*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{M}}(T_\lambda^{\widetilde{G}}) = T_\lambda^{\widetilde{M}}.$$

Proof. (1) See [13], §7.3, Lemma 1.
(2) See [13], §2.8, Proposition and [12], Proposition 2.3.
(3) See [12], Proposition 4.5.
(4) This follows from part (3), noting that $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{T}}(\widetilde{V}_{(U^- \cap L \cap K)^*})$ is commutative.
(5) See [13], §7.3, Lemmas 2 and 3, applied to the case of coinvariants (see also [12], Proposition 2.3).
(6) See [14], Corollary 2.18. □

Notation. *We will denote $T_\lambda^{\widetilde{G}}$ and $T_\lambda^{\widetilde{T}}$ by T_λ and τ_λ, respectively.*

Remark 2.3. We also have a "mixed" version of the above construction. Let $\widetilde{V}'$ be another weight of $\widetilde{L} \cap \widetilde{K}$, and consider the module of intertwiners

$$\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{V}') := \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{L}} \left(\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{L} \cap \widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V}), \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{L} \cap \widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V}') \right).$$

As with $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V})$, we may view elements of $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{V}')$ as compactly supported, $\widetilde{L} \cap \widetilde{K}$-biequivariant, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{V}')$-valued functions on $\widetilde{L}$. Similarly to part (1) of the proposition above, the double coset $(\widetilde{L} \cap \widetilde{K})\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)(\widetilde{L} \cap \widetilde{K})$ for $\lambda \in X_*(T)_{L,-}$ supports a nonzero function $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{V}')$ if and only if $\widetilde{V}_{(N_{-\lambda}^- \cap K)^*} \cong \widetilde{V}'_{(N_{-\lambda}^- \cap K)^*}$, in which case $\varphi(\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi))$ is a scalar multiple of the homomorphism

$$\widetilde{V} \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\rightarrow \widetilde{V}_{(N_{-\lambda}^- \cap K)^*} \cong \widetilde{V}'_{(N_{-\lambda}^- \cap K)^*} \xleftarrow{\sim} \widetilde{V}'^{(N_{-\lambda} \cap K)^*} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{V}'.$$

We also have an injective Satake map

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{L}}^{\widetilde{M}} : \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{V}') \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{V}_{(N_1^- \cap K)^*}, \widetilde{V}'_{(N_1^- \cap K)^*}),$$

given by the formula (6). If we take $\widetilde{V}''$ to be a third weight, we have an obvious product structure

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V}', \widetilde{V}'') \times \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{V}') &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{V}''), \\ (\varphi', \varphi) &\longmapsto \varphi' * \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

which is preserved by the Satake map $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{L}}^{\widetilde{M}}$.

In addition to the above, we have the following results relating parabolic and compact induction.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\widetilde{V}$ be a weight of $\widetilde{K}$ and $\widetilde{P} = \widetilde{M} \ltimes N^*$ a standard parabolic subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$. Assume that $\widetilde{V}$ is $\widetilde{M}$-regular. We then have an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{V}_{(N^- \cap K)^*}) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})} \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}^-}^{\widetilde{G}} \left(\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{M} \cap \widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{V}_{(N^- \cap K)^*}) \right),$$

which is both $\widetilde{G}$- and $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{V}_{(N^- \cap K)^*})$-equivariant. Here we view $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{V}_{(N^- \cap K)^*})$ as an $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})$-module via $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{M}}$.

Proof. This follows in exactly the same way as Theorem 4.6 (utilizing Corollary 6.5 and Proposition 5.4) of [12]. □

Using this proposition, we obtain the following.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\widetilde{V}$ be a weight of $\widetilde{K}$. Then $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{T}}(\widetilde{V}_{(U^- \cap K)^*}) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})} \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})$ is free as an $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{T}}(\widetilde{V}_{(U^- \cap K)^*})$-module. Moreover, if $\chi : \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{T}}(\widetilde{V}_{(U^- \cap K)^*}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is a character, then the representation $\chi \circ \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})} \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})$ has finite length as a $\widetilde{G}$-module, and its composition factors depend only on χ and the $(\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K})$-representation $\widetilde{V}_{(U^- \cap K)^*}$.*

Proof. The proof is almost identical to the proof contained in §4.2 of [2]. The only essential difference is in the proof of Lemma 4.13 of *loc. cit.*: let $\widetilde{P}_1 = \widetilde{M}_1 \ltimes N_1^* \subset \widetilde{P}_2 = \widetilde{M}_2 \ltimes N_2^*$ be two standard parabolic subgroups, and assume $\Pi_{M_2} = \Pi_{M_1} \sqcup \{\alpha_i\}$ for a simple root α_i. In analogy with Abe's definition, we take the maps Φ_{P_2,P_1} and Φ_{P_1,P_2} to be normalized versions of the maps φ^- and φ^+ from the proof of Theorem 3.1 below. Our normalization differs from that of *loc. cit.* only when $i = n$: in that case, we let $\Phi_{P_1,P_2} = \varphi^+$ and $\Phi_{P_2,P_1} = \tau_{-2\lambda} \cdot \varphi^-$. Our calculation of $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}}(\varphi^- * \varphi^+)$ in Proposition 3.3 then shows that both $\Phi_{P_2,P_1} \circ \Phi_{P_1,P_2}$ and $\Phi_{P_1,P_2} \circ \Phi_{P_2,P_1}$ are identity maps when $i = n$. □

2.7. **Special features of the metaplectic setting.** Fix, once and for all, a smooth additive character $\psi : F \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, and let $\chi_\psi : \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$ denote the genuine character defined by

$$\chi_\psi(m, \zeta) = \zeta \gamma(\det_{M_{\mathrm{S}}}(m), \psi)^{-1},$$

where $\gamma(a, \psi) = \gamma(\psi_a)/\gamma(\psi)$, $\gamma(\psi)$ is the Weil index, and $\psi_a(x) = \psi(ax)$. Here we are using the coordinates afforded by Rao's cocycle. We consider χ_ψ as being valued in $\mu_4(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ via a fixed isomorphism $\mu_4(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \cong \mu_4(\mathbb{C})$.

Using the character χ_ψ, we obtain a bijection between isomorphism classes of mod-p representations of $M_{\mathrm{S}} \cong \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ and isomorphism classes of genuine mod-p representations of $\widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}$, given by $\tau \longmapsto \tau \otimes \chi_\psi$, where we we view τ as a (nongenuine) representation of $\widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}$ by inflation from M_{S}. It follows from (5) and the construction of the elements $\widetilde{\alpha}_j^\vee(x)$ that we have $\chi_\psi(\widetilde{\alpha}_j^\vee(x)) = 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$.

We now prove a simple lemma which will be of use in determining the support of functions in the image of the Satake map. Fix $\lambda \in X_*(T)_-$, $\mu \in X_*(T)$, and $\zeta \in \mu_2$, and define the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mu,\lambda} &:= \{u \in (U^- \cap K) \backslash U^- : (U^- \cap K) u \mu(\varpi) \subset K \lambda(\varpi) K\} \\ \widetilde{S}_{\mu,\lambda,\zeta} &:= \{u \in (U^- \cap K)^* \backslash U^{-,*} : (U^- \cap K)^* u \widetilde{\mu}(\varpi) \subset K^* \widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi) \cdot \zeta K^*\} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\lambda \in X_*(T)_-$, $\mu \in X_*(T)$, and $\zeta \in \mu_2$.*

(1) We have $|S_{\mu,\lambda}| = |\widetilde{S}_{\mu,\lambda,1}| + |\widetilde{S}_{\mu,\lambda,-1}|$.

(2) Write $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i\lambda_i, \mu = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i\lambda_i$, and suppose $\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i + b_i)$ is odd. Then we have

$$|\widetilde{S}_{\mu,\lambda,1}| = |\widetilde{S}_{\mu,\lambda,-1}| = |S_{\mu,\lambda}|/2.$$

Proof. Part (1) follows easily using the fact that $\widetilde{K}\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)\widetilde{K} = K^*\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)K^* \sqcup K^*\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)\cdot(-1)K^*$, together with the splitting over U. For part (2), let $y \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ and note that the element $\lambda_{n+1}(y) \in \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(F)$ normalizes U and K. By uniqueness of the splittings over U and K, this implies that $\widetilde{\lambda}_{n+1}(y)$ normalizes U^* and K^*, where $\widetilde{\lambda}_{n+1}(y)$ denotes an arbitrary lift of $\lambda_{n+1}(y)$ to $\widetilde{\mathrm{GSp}}_{2n}(F)$. Suppose $\widetilde{S}_{\mu,\lambda,\zeta} \neq \emptyset$, and choose $u \in \widetilde{S}_{\mu,\lambda,\zeta}$. Conjugating u by $\widetilde{\lambda}_{n+1}(y)$ and applying equation (3) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(U^- \cap K)^*(\widetilde{\lambda}_{n+1}(y)u\widetilde{\lambda}_{n+1}(y)^{-1})\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi) &= \widetilde{\lambda}_{n+1}(y)\left((U^- \cap K)^* u\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi)\right)\widetilde{\lambda}_{n+1}(y)^{-1}\cdot(\varpi, y)_F^{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i} \\
&\subset \widetilde{\lambda}_{n+1}(y)\left(K^*\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)\cdot\zeta K^*\right)\widetilde{\lambda}_{n+1}(y)^{-1}\cdot(\varpi, y)_F^{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i} \\
&= K^*(\widetilde{\lambda}_{n+1}(y)\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)\widetilde{\lambda}_{n+1}(y)^{-1})\cdot\zeta(\varpi, y)_F^{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i}K^* \\
&= K^*\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)\cdot\zeta(\varpi, y)_F^{\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i+b_i)}K^* \\
&= K^*\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)\cdot\zeta(\varpi, y)_F K^*.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows $\widetilde{\lambda}_{n+1}(y)u\widetilde{\lambda}_{n+1}(y)^{-1} \in \widetilde{S}_{\mu,\lambda,\zeta(\varpi,y)_F}$. In particular, taking y to be an element of $\mathcal{O}^\times$ whose image in $\mathfrak{k}^\times$ is not a square gives an injective map from $\widetilde{S}_{\lambda,\mu,\zeta}$ to $\widetilde{S}_{\lambda,\mu,-\zeta}$. Combining with part (1) gives the claim. □

Corollary 2.7. *Let $\widetilde{V}$ be a weight of $\widetilde{K}$, fix $\lambda \in X_*(T)_-$, and write*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_\lambda) = \sum_{\substack{\mu \in X_*(T)_- \\ \mu \geq \lambda}} c_\lambda(\mu)\tau_\mu.$$

Then we have $c_\lambda(\mu) = 0$ for all μ such that, in the expression of $\mu + \lambda$ as a linear combination of the λ_i, the coefficients sum to an odd number.

Proof. Fix $y \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ whose image in $\mathfrak{k}^\times$ is not a square, and let $\delta \in \widetilde{\mathrm{GSp}}_{2n}(F)$ denote some fixed lift of $\lambda_{n+1}(y)$. It is known (cf. [14], Proposition 2.2) that for every weight V of K, there exists a weight of $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(\mathcal{O})$ whose restriction to K is V. Therefore, we may endow $\widetilde{V}$ with an action of the element δ.

Fix a nonzero $v \in \widetilde{V}^{(U\cap K)^*} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{V}_{(U^-\cap K)^*}$, and let c denote the eigenvalue of δ on v. Then, for μ and λ as in the statement of the corollary, and $u \in \widetilde{S}_{\mu,\lambda,1}$, there exist $k_u^*, k_u'^* \in K^*$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{T_\lambda(u\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi))(v)} &= \overline{k_u^* \circ T_\lambda(\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)) \circ k_u'^*.v} \\
&= c^{-1}\delta\cdot\left(\overline{k_u^* \circ T_\lambda(\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)) \circ k_u'^*\delta^{-1}\cdot(cv)}\right) \\
&= \overline{(\delta k_u^*\delta^{-1}) \circ (\delta T_\lambda(\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi))\delta^{-1}) \circ (\delta k_u'^*\delta^{-1})\cdot v} \\
&= \overline{(\delta k_u^*\delta^{-1}) \circ T_\lambda(\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)) \circ (\delta k_u'^*\delta^{-1})\cdot v} \\
&= \overline{T_\lambda((\delta k_u^*\delta^{-1})\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)(\delta k_u'^*\delta^{-1}))(v)} \\
&= -\overline{T_\lambda((\delta u\delta^{-1})\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi))(v)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, using the above lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
c_\lambda(\mu)\overline{v} &= \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_\lambda)(\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi))(\overline{v}) \\
&= \sum_{u\in\widetilde{S}_{\mu,\lambda,1}} \overline{T_\lambda(u\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi))(v)} + \sum_{u\in\widetilde{S}_{\mu,\lambda,-1}} \overline{T_\lambda(u\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi))(v)} \\
&= \sum_{u\in\widetilde{S}_{\mu,\lambda,1}} \overline{T_\lambda(u\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi))(v)} + \sum_{u\in\widetilde{S}_{\mu,\lambda,1}} \overline{T_\lambda((\delta u\delta^{-1})\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi))(v)} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

In the following proposition, we let unaccented symbols correspond to the appropriate analogous objects for the reductive group G (so $\mathcal{S}_L^T$ denotes the Satake map with respect to a weight V, etc.).

Proposition 2.8. *Let L be a standard Levi subgroup of G such that $L \subset M_{\mathrm{S}}$, and let 1 denote the trivial weight of L. Fix $\lambda \in X_*(T)_{L,-}$, and suppose that*

$$\mathcal{S}_L^T(T_\lambda^L) = \sum_{\substack{\mu \in X_*(T)_{L,-} \\ \mu \geq_L \lambda}} c_\lambda(\mu) T_\mu^T.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{L}}^{\widetilde{T}}$ denote the Satake map for the weight $1 \boxtimes \varepsilon$. We then have

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{L}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_\lambda^{\widetilde{L}}) = \sum_{\substack{\mu \in X_*(T)_{L,-} \\ \mu \geq_L \lambda}} \widetilde{c}_\lambda(\mu) \tau_\mu,$$

where $\widetilde{c}_\lambda(\mu) = c_\lambda(\mu)$.

Proof. Let $N_1 = U \cap L$, so that $T \ltimes N_1$ is a parabolic subgroup of L. For $\lambda, \mu \in X_*(T)_{L,-}$ and $\zeta \in \mu_2$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} S'_{\mu,\lambda} &:= \{n \in (N_1^- \cap K) \backslash N_1^- : (N_1^- \cap K) n \mu(\varpi) \subset (L \cap K) \lambda(\varpi) (L \cap K)\}, \\ \widetilde{S}'_{\mu,\lambda,\zeta} &:= \{n \in (N_1^- \cap K)^* \backslash N_1^{-,*} : (N_1^- \cap K)^* n \widetilde{\mu}(\varpi) \subset (L \cap K)^* \widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi) \cdot \zeta (L \cap K)^*\}. \end{aligned}$$

The definition of the Satake map shows that

$$c_\lambda(\mu) = |S'_{\mu,\lambda}|, \qquad \widetilde{c}_\lambda(\mu) = |\widetilde{S}'_{\mu,\lambda,1}| - |\widetilde{S}'_{\mu,\lambda,-1}|.$$

Let us fix $\lambda, \mu \in X_*(T)_{L,-}$. First, we claim that there exists $\zeta \in \mu_2$ such that $|S'_{\mu,\lambda}| = |\widetilde{S}'_{\mu,\lambda,\zeta}|$. To see this, suppose that $n \in S_{\mu,\lambda}$, so that

$$n \mu(\varpi) = k_n \lambda(\varpi) k'_n$$

for some $k_n, k'_n \in L \cap K$. Comparing determinants of both sides shows that $\det_{M_{\mathrm{S}}}(k_n k'_n) = 1$. Since $M_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{der}} \cong \mathrm{SL}_n(F)$, we see that by adjusting k_n and k'_n if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that $k_n, k'_n \in L \cap K \cap M_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{der}}$.

Consider now the subgroup $M_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{der}}$. Examining the pullback to $M_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{der}}$ of the Steinberg cocycle of $\widetilde{G}$, we see that the cover $\widetilde{G} \longrightarrow G$ splits (uniquely) over $M_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{der}}$. In the coordinates of Rao's cocycle, this splitting is given by

$$m \longmapsto m^* = (m, 1),$$

where $m \in M_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{der}}$. This has the following consequence. The splitting over N_1^- gives a bijection between $S'_{\mu,\lambda}$ and $\widetilde{S}'_{\mu,\lambda,1} \sqcup \widetilde{S}'_{\mu,\lambda,-1}$, and we would like to determine in which signed set n^* lies, where n is as above. Let us write

$$\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi) = (\mu(\varpi), \zeta_\mu), \qquad \widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi) = (\lambda(\varpi), \zeta_\lambda),$$

with $\zeta_\mu, \zeta_\lambda \in \mu_2$. Using equation (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n^* \widetilde{\mu}(\varpi) &= (n, 1) \cdot (\mu(\varpi), \zeta_\mu) \\ &= (n \mu(\varpi), \zeta_\mu), \\ k_n^* \widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi) \cdot \zeta k_n'^* &= (k_n, 1) \cdot (\lambda(\varpi), \zeta_\lambda) \cdot (1, \zeta) \cdot (k'_n, 1) \\ &= (k_n \lambda(\varpi) k'_n, \zeta \zeta_\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, n^* lies in $\widetilde{S}_{\mu,\lambda,\zeta}$, where $\zeta = \zeta_\mu \zeta_\lambda^{-1}$. Since ζ does not depend on n, the claim follows.

Now, if $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have $\alpha_i^\vee(\varpi) \in M_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{der}}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left((\lambda + \alpha_i^\vee)(\varpi), \zeta_{\lambda + \alpha_i^\vee}\right) &= \widetilde{(\lambda + \alpha_i^\vee)}(\varpi) \\ &= \widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi) \cdot \widetilde{\alpha}_i^\vee(\varpi) \\ &= (\lambda(\varpi), \zeta_\lambda) \cdot (\alpha_i^\vee(\varpi), 1) \\ &= \left((\lambda + \alpha_i^\vee)(\varpi), \zeta_\lambda\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu \geq_L \lambda$, we obtain $\zeta_\mu = \zeta_\lambda$, and therefore $\zeta = 1$. □

3. Change of weight

We now discuss the change-of-weight theorem, which will be the main technical input to our classification results in §4.

3.1. **Statement and proof.** Fix $1 \leq i \leq n$ and let $\nu \in X_q(T)$ be a q-restricted weight such that $\langle \nu, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 0$. Let ω_{α_i} be the fundamental weight associated to α_i, and set $\nu' := \nu + (q-1)\omega_{\alpha_i}$. Note that ν' is also a q-restricted weight. Let $\widetilde{V} = \widetilde{F}(\nu)$ (resp., $\widetilde{V}' = \widetilde{F}(\nu')$) denote the associated weight of $\widetilde{K}$. Then $\widetilde{V}^{(U\cap K)^*} \cong \widetilde{V}'^{(U\cap K)^*}$ as genuine representations of $(\widetilde{T}\cap\widetilde{K})$, and via the Satake transform we identify the algebras $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}')$.

Given a simple root $\alpha \in \Pi$, let $\lambda_\alpha \in X_*(T)$ denote a cocharacter such that $\langle \alpha, \lambda_\alpha \rangle < 0$ and $\langle \Pi \smallsetminus \{\alpha\}, \lambda_\alpha \rangle = 0$. Let $\chi : \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ be a character, and set

$$\Pi(\chi) := \left\{ \alpha \in \Pi : (\chi \circ (\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}})^{-1})(\tau_{\lambda_\alpha}) = 0 \right\} \tag{7}$$

(note that this definition is independent of the choice of λ_α). The character χ then factors as $\chi = \chi' \circ \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{M}_{\Pi(\chi)}}$, where $\chi' : \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}_{\Pi(\chi)}}(\widetilde{V}_{(N^-_{\Pi(\chi)}\cap K)^*}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is some character; this follows from the fact that $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{M}_{\Pi(\chi)}}$ is a localization at $T_{\lambda'}^{\widetilde{M}_{\Pi(\chi)}}$, where $\lambda' = \sum_{\alpha \notin \Pi(\chi)} \lambda_\alpha$. The main theorem of this chapter is the following.

Theorem 3.1. *Fix a simple root $\alpha_i \in \Pi$, let $\chi : \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ be a character, and let $\Pi(\chi)$ be as above. Assume that $\alpha_i \notin \Pi(\chi)$. If $i \neq n$, further assume that either $\langle \Pi(\chi), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \neq 0$, or that $\langle \Pi(\chi), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 0$ and $(\chi' \circ (\mathcal{S}^{\widetilde{T}}_{\widetilde{M}_{\Pi(\chi)}})^{-1})(\tau_{\alpha_i^\vee}) \neq 1$ (note that this is well-defined as $\alpha_i^\vee \in X_*(T)_{M_{\Pi(\chi)},-}$). We then have*

$$\chi \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})} \operatorname{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \cong \chi \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}')} \operatorname{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}').$$

Proof. Let $\lambda := -\sum_{j=1}^{i} \lambda_j$; then

$$\langle \alpha_j, -\lambda \rangle = 0 \text{ if } j \neq i, \text{ and } \langle \alpha_i, -\lambda \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ 2 & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Note that λ is a possible choice of λ_{α_i} in the statement of the theorem. Furthermore, $-\lambda$ is a minuscule fundamental coweight associated to α_i if $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ the inner product $\langle \alpha_i, -\lambda \rangle$ is as small as possible under the constraints on λ_{α_i}.

Lemma 2.1 and the definition of the group N_λ imply that $\widetilde{V}_{(N_\lambda \cap K)^*} \cong \widetilde{V}'_{(N_\lambda \cap K)^*}$. Therefore, by Remark 2.3 following Proposition 2.2, there exist nonzero elements $\varphi^+ \in \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{V}')$ and $\varphi^- \in \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}', \widetilde{V})$ which are supported in $\widetilde{K}\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)\widetilde{K}$.

Sublemma 3.2. *The function $\varphi^- * \varphi^+ \in \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})$ is nonzero and has support $\widetilde{K}\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)^2\widetilde{K}$; that is, $\varphi^- * \varphi^+ = cT_{2\lambda}$ for some nonzero constant c.*

Proof of Sublemma 3.2. See the proof of Lemma 4.3 in [2], noting that conjugation by elements of $\widetilde{T}$ preserves U^* by uniqueness of the splitting over U. □

After possible rescaling, we may assume $\varphi^- * \varphi^+ = T_{2\lambda}$. The maps φ^-, φ^+ induce maps $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^-, \boldsymbol{\varphi}^+$ as follows:

$$\chi \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})} \operatorname{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \underset{\boldsymbol{\varphi}^-}{\overset{\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+}{\rightleftarrows}} \chi \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}')} \operatorname{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}')$$

and the composition of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^-$ with $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+$ (in either order) is the scalar $\chi(\varphi^- * \varphi^+)$. Proposition 3.3 below gives

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}}(\varphi^- * \varphi^+) = \begin{cases} \tau_{2\lambda} - \tau_{2\lambda+\alpha_i^\vee} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ \tau_{2\lambda} & \text{if } i = n, \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\chi(\varphi^- * \varphi^+) = \begin{cases} (\chi \circ (\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}})^{-1})(\tau_{2\lambda} - \tau_{2\lambda+\alpha_i^\vee}) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ (\chi \circ (\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}})^{-1})(\tau_{2\lambda}) & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Our assumptions now guarantee that $\chi(\varphi^- * \varphi^+) \neq 0$, and therefore we obtain our desired isomorphism

$$\chi \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})} \operatorname{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \chi \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}')} \operatorname{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}').$$

□

We now carry out the calculation of $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda})$ which was used in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proposition 3.3. *Let α_i, $\widetilde{V}$, $\widetilde{V}'$, λ be as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, and let $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}}$ denote the Satake transform $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{T}}(\widetilde{V}_{(U^-\cap K)^*})$. Then*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda}) = \begin{cases} \tau_{2\lambda} - \tau_{2\lambda+\alpha_i^\vee} & \text{if } 1 \le i \le n-1, \\ \tau_{2\lambda} & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let Π_ν be as defined in §2.4, so that $\alpha_i \in \Pi_\nu$, and let $P_\nu = M_\nu \ltimes N_\nu$ be the parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to Π_ν. By the transitivity of Satake morphisms (Proposition 2.2(2)), we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda}) = \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}\cap\widetilde{M}_\nu}^{\widetilde{T}} \circ \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu}^{\widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}\cap\widetilde{M}_\nu} \circ \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu}(T_{2\lambda}). \tag{8}$$

We will compute each of these maps in sequence. Note first that, by definition of M_ν, the weight $\widetilde{V} = \widetilde{F}(\nu)$ is $\widetilde{M}_\nu$-regular, and Proposition 2.2(6) implies that $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu}(T_{2\lambda}) = T_{2\lambda}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu} \in \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu}(\widetilde{V}_{(N_\nu^-\cap K)^*})$.

Since $\langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Pi_\nu$, the representation $V_{N_\nu^-\cap K} \cong F_{M_\nu}(\nu)$ of $M_\nu \cap K$ is one-dimensional; for brevity, we denote this one-dimensional representation by ν. By Corollary 3.4 of [2], there exists a unique character ν_{M_ν} of M_ν such that $\nu_{M_\nu}|_{(M_\nu\cap K)} = \nu$ and $\nu_{M_\nu}(\mu(\varpi)) = 1$ for all $\mu \in X_*(T)$. We let $\nu_{M_\nu}^*$ denote the nongenuine character of $\widetilde{M}_\nu$ given by $\nu_{M_\nu} \circ \mathsf{pr}$. The following lemma will allow us to assume that $\widetilde{V}_{(N_\nu^-\cap K)^*} \cong 1 \boxtimes \varepsilon$ as a representation of $\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{K}$ in the calculation of $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu\cap\widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}(T_{2\lambda}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu})$, where 1 denotes the trivial representation of $M_\nu \cap K$.

Sublemma 3.4. *Maintain the notation of the previous paragraph, and let $M \subset M_\nu$ be a standard Levi subgroup. Then:*

1. *the map $s_\nu : \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu}(\nu \boxtimes \varepsilon) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu}(1 \boxtimes \varepsilon)$ given by $\varphi \mapsto (\nu_{M_\nu}^*)^{-1} \cdot \varphi$ is an algebra isomorphism;*
2. *the map $\varphi \mapsto (\nu_{M_\nu}^*)^{-1}|_{\widetilde{M}} \cdot \varphi$ defines an algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}}(\nu \boxtimes \varepsilon) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}}(1 \boxtimes \varepsilon)$, also denoted by s_ν, and the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu}(\nu \boxtimes \varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{s_\nu} & \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu}(1 \boxtimes \varepsilon) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu}^{\widetilde{M}}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu}^{\widetilde{M}}} \\ \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}}(\nu \boxtimes \varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{s_\nu} & \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}}(1 \boxtimes \varepsilon) \end{array}$$

where the downward arrows are the partial Satake transforms $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu}(\nu \boxtimes \varepsilon) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}}(\nu \boxtimes \varepsilon)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu}(1 \boxtimes \varepsilon) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}}(1 \boxtimes \varepsilon)$, respectively.

Proof of Sublemma 3.4. See [2] Lemma 3.5 and [14] Lemma 4.6. □

In the remainder of the proof, we assume $\widetilde{V}_{(N_\lambda\cap K)^*} \cong 1 \boxtimes \varepsilon$. Suppose that $\alpha_n \notin \Pi_\nu$. Then the Satake map $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu\cap\widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}$ is trivial, so it only remains to calculate $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu\cap\widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu\cap\widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}})$. The assumption that $\alpha_n \notin \Pi_\nu$ implies that $i \neq n$, and therefore Proposition 6.7 of [14] and Proposition 2.8 imply

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu\cap\widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu\cap\widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}) = \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda}) = \tau_{2\lambda} - \tau_{2\lambda+\alpha_i^\vee}.$$

From now on we suppose that $\alpha_n \in \Pi_\nu$. Define the unipotent subgroup $N_1 \subset M_\nu$ by $N_1 := M_\nu \cap N_{\mathrm{S}}$, so that $(\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}) \ltimes N_1^*$ is a standard parabolic of $\widetilde{M}_\nu$. By definition of the partial Satake transform applied to the Hecke algebra of the one-dimensional weight $1 \boxtimes \varepsilon$, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu\cap\widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}(T_{2\lambda}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu})(\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi)) = \sum_{n \in (N_1^-\cap K)^*\backslash N_1^{-,*}} T_{2\lambda}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu}(n\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi)) \tag{9}$$

for each $\mu \in X_*(T)_{M_\nu\cap M_{\mathrm{S}},-}$ (we omit the vector v here since $1 \boxtimes \varepsilon$ is one-dimensional). For such μ and for $\zeta \in \mu_2$, we set

$$\widetilde{S}''_{\mu,2\lambda,\zeta} := \{n \in (N_1^- \cap K)^*\backslash N_1^{-,*} : (N_1^- \cap K)^* n\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi) \subset (M_\nu \cap K)^* \widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)^2 \cdot \zeta (M_\nu \cap K)^*\}.$$

Then the right-hand side of (9) is equal to $|\widetilde{S}''_{\mu,2\lambda,1}| - |\widetilde{S}''_{\mu,2\lambda,-1}|$.

Let J denote the pro-p subgroup of $K \cap M_\nu \cap M_{\mathrm{S}}$ which is generated by $\{u_\alpha(x) : \alpha \in \Pi_\nu \cap \Pi_{\mathrm{S}}, x \in \mathcal{O}\}$ and $\{\eta(y) : \eta \in X_*(T), y \in 1 + \varpi\mathcal{O}\}$, and let J^* denote the image of J in K^* under the splitting over K. In what follows, we let Φ_ν and Φ_{S} denote the root systems generated by Π_ν and by Π_{S}, respectively, and define the subset of positive roots in each system accordingly. Write $(\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+ = \Phi_\nu^+ \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}}^+$.

Sublemma 3.5. *Suppose that $\alpha_n \in \Pi_\nu$, and let $\mu \in X_*(T)_{M_\nu \cap M_{\mathrm{S}},-}$ and $\zeta \in \mu_2$. Then J^* acts by conjugation on $\widetilde{S}''_{\mu,2\lambda,\zeta}$.*

Proof of Sublemma 3.5. We first claim that J^* normalizes $(N_1^- \cap K)^*$. Since both subgroups belong to K^* it is enough to show that J normalizes $(N_1^- \cap K)$. Let $\gamma \in \Phi_\nu^+ \smallsetminus (\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+$, $\alpha \in (\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+$, $\eta \in X_*(T)$, $x, z \in \mathcal{O}$, and $y \in 1 + \varpi\mathcal{O}$. Since $(N_1^- \cap K)$ is generated by $\{u_{-\gamma}(x) : \gamma \in \Phi_\nu^+ \smallsetminus (\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+, x \in \mathcal{O}\}$, it suffices to check that the commutators $[\eta(y), u_{-\gamma}(x)]$ and $[u_\alpha(z), u_{-\gamma}(x)]$ belong to $(N_1^- \cap K)$. As $y \in \mathcal{O}^\times$, the commutator $[\eta(y), u_{-\gamma}(x)] = u_{-\gamma}\left(x(y^{\langle -\gamma, \eta\rangle} - 1)\right)$ belongs to $(N_1^- \cap K)$. We have $j\alpha - k\gamma \in \Phi$ for $j, k > 0$ only if $-(j\alpha - k\gamma) \in \Phi_\nu^+ \smallsetminus (\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+$, so (recalling that we have chosen the root morphisms so that all structure constants $c_{\alpha,\beta;j,k}$ lie in $\mathbb{Z}$) the formula (1) implies that the commutator

$$[u_\alpha(z), u_{-\gamma}(x)] = \prod_{\substack{j,k>0 \\ j\alpha - k\gamma \in \Phi}} u_{j\alpha - k\gamma}(c_{\alpha,-\gamma;j,k} z^j x^k),$$

also belongs to $(N_1^- \cap K)$.

Next let $\widetilde{j} \in J^*$. We claim that $\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi)^{-1}\widetilde{j}\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi) \in J^*$. Since $\widetilde{T}$ is abelian, it suffices to consider the case when $\widetilde{j} = \widetilde{u}_\alpha(x)$ for $\alpha \in \Pi_\nu \cap \Pi_{\mathrm{S}}, x \in \mathcal{O}$. Since each U_α is a unipotent subgroup of G and consequently admits a unique splitting, we have

$$\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi)^{-1}\widetilde{u}_\alpha(x)\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi) = \widetilde{u}_\alpha(\varpi^{-\langle \alpha, \mu\rangle} x) \in J^*.$$

Now let $n \in \widetilde{S}''_{\mu,2\lambda,\zeta}$, so that $(N_1^- \cap K)^* n \widetilde{\mu}(\varpi) \subset (M_\nu \cap K)^* \widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)^2 \cdot \zeta (M_\nu \cap K)^*$, and take $\widetilde{j} \in J^*$. Then, applying the above two comments we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(N_1^- \cap K)^* \widetilde{j} n \widetilde{j}^{-1} \widetilde{\mu}(\varpi) &= \widetilde{j}(N_1^- \cap K)^* n \widetilde{\mu}(\varpi)\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi)^{-1}\widetilde{j}^{-1}\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi) \\
&\subset J^* \left((N_1^- \cap K)^* n \widetilde{\mu}(\varpi)\right) J^* \\
&\subset J^* (M_\nu \cap K)^* \widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)^2 \cdot \zeta (M_\nu \cap K)^* J^* \\
&= (M_\nu \cap K)^* \widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)^2 \cdot \zeta (M_\nu \cap K)^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\widetilde{j} n \widetilde{j}^{-1} \in \widetilde{S}''_{\mu,2\lambda,\zeta}$ as well. □

Since J^* is a pro-p group, the orbits of its action on $\widetilde{S}''_{\mu,2\lambda,\zeta}$ each have size equal to a power of p. Therefore $|\widetilde{S}''_{\mu,2\lambda,\zeta}| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ only if the action of J^* on $\widetilde{S}''_{\mu,2\lambda,\zeta}$ has a fixed point. The following facts will be useful in determining when this occurs.

Sublemma 3.6.

(1) *Let $\gamma \in \Phi_\nu^+ \smallsetminus (\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+$. Then there exists $\beta \in (\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+$ such that $\beta - \gamma \in \Phi$ if and only if $\gamma \neq \alpha_n$. If $\beta \in (\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+$ and $\beta - \gamma \in \Phi$, then*

$$[u_\beta(1), u_{-\gamma}(x)] = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} u_{j\beta - \gamma}(c_{\beta,-\gamma;j,1}\, x)$$

with $1 \leq \ell \leq 2$, $-(j\beta - \gamma) \in \Phi_\nu^+ \smallsetminus (\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+$ and $c_{\beta,-\gamma;j,1} \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. If $\beta - \gamma \notin \Phi$, then $[u_\beta(1), u_{-\gamma}(x)] = 1$ for all $x \in F$.

(2) *Let $n \in N_1^-$. Then $[u_\beta(1), n] \in (N_1^- \cap K)$ for all $\beta \in (\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+$ if and only if $(N_1^- \cap K)n = (N_1^- \cap K)u_{-\alpha_n}(x)$ for some $x \in F$.*

Proof of Sublemma 3.6. (1) The first statement follows from an examination of the possible coefficients in the expression of any root as a linear combination of simple roots. Similar considerations show that if $j\beta - k\gamma \in \Phi$ with $\gamma \in \Phi_\nu^+ \smallsetminus (\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+$, $\beta \in (\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+$, and $j, k > 0$, then $k = 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2$. If $\beta - \gamma \in \Phi$, then $-(\beta - \gamma) \in \Phi_\nu^+ \smallsetminus (\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+$ and the β-string of roots through $-\gamma$ is either (i) $\{-\gamma, \beta - \gamma\}$, (ii) $\{-\gamma, \beta - \gamma, 2\beta - \gamma\}$, or (iii) $\{-\beta - \gamma, -\gamma, \beta - \gamma\}$. Lemma 15 and Lemma 2(b) of [30] imply that the structure constants $c_{\beta,-\gamma;1,1}$ appearing in the commutator formula (1) take the following values: $c_{\beta,-\gamma;1,1} \in \{\pm 1\}$ in cases (i) and (ii),

and $c_{\beta,-\gamma;1,1} \in \{\pm 2\}$ in case (iii). We have $\ell = 1$ in cases (i) and (iii), while in case (ii) we have $\ell = 2$ and $c_{\beta,-\gamma;2,1} \in \{\pm 1\}$ (this last value follows from Lemma 9.2.2 of [29] together with our assumption that all structure constants lie in $\mathbb{Z}$). Since $\mathrm{char}(\mathfrak{k}) \neq 2$, we thus have $c_{\beta,-\gamma;j,1} \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. When $\beta - \gamma \notin \Phi$, we have $j\beta - k\gamma \notin \Phi$ for all $j, k > 0$ and so (1) implies that $[u_\beta(1), u_{-\gamma}(x)] = 1$ for all $x \in F$.

(2) For each $\beta \in (\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+$, the element $u_\beta(1)$ normalizes $(N_1^- \cap K)$ (by the proof of Sublemma 3.5) and centralizes the root subgroup $U_{-\alpha_n}$ (by part (1)), so $[u_\beta(1), n] \in (N_1^- \cap K)$ if $(N_1^- \cap K)n = (N_1^- \cap K)u_{-\alpha_n}(x)$ for some $x \in F$. Conversely, suppose $[u_\beta(1), n] \in (N_1^- \cap K)$ for some fixed $\beta \in (\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+$. Since $-j\gamma - k\gamma' \notin \Phi$ for all $j, k > 0$ and all $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Phi_\nu^+ \smallsetminus (\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+$, it follows from (1) that N_1^- is abelian. We may therefore assume that $n = \prod_{a=1}^m u_{-\gamma_a}(x_a)$, where $\{\gamma_a : 1 \leq a \leq m\}$ is a set of distinct elements of $\Phi_\nu^+ \smallsetminus (\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+$ and where each $x_a \in F$. Using the fact that $u_\beta(1)$ normalizes the abelian group N_1^-, we obtain

$$[u_\beta(1), n] = \prod_{a=1}^m [u_\beta(1), u_{-\gamma_a}(x_a)] \in (N_1^- \cap K).$$

Now set $A_a := \Phi \cap \{j\beta - \gamma_a : 1 \leq j \leq 2\}$ for $1 \leq a \leq m$ (so that A_a is a proper subset of the β-string through $-\gamma_a$). Since root strings in type C_n have length at most 3, we see that for each fixed a, either $A_a \cap A_{a'} = \emptyset$ for all $a' \neq a$, or $A_a \cap A_{a'} \neq \emptyset$ and $A_a \cap A_{a''} = A_{a'} \cap A_{a''} = \emptyset$ for all $a'' \neq a, a'$. If $m = 1$, or if $m = 2$ and $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$, then part (1) easily implies that $x_a \in \mathcal{O}$ whenever $A_a \neq \emptyset$. If $m = 2$ and $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$, then neither of γ_1, γ_2 is equal to α_n and (after possibly exchanging γ_1 and γ_2) we have

$$[u_\beta(1), n] = u_{\beta-\gamma_1}(c_{\beta,-\gamma_1;1,1}x_1 + c_{\beta,-\gamma_2;2,1}x_2) \cdot u_{\beta-\gamma_2}(c_{\beta,-\gamma_2;1,1}x_2),$$

so again part (1) implies that $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{O}$. By induction on m, it follows that $x_a \in \mathcal{O}$ whenever $A_a \neq \emptyset$. By part (1), for each $\gamma_a \neq \alpha_n$ there exists a choice of $\beta \in (\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+$ such that $A_a \neq \emptyset$. Hence $(N_1^- \cap K)n = (N_1^- \cap K)u_{-\alpha_n}(x)$ for some $x \in F$ if for all $\beta \in (\Phi_\nu \cap \Phi_{\mathrm{S}})^+$ we have $[u_\beta(1), n] \in (N_1^- \cap K)$. □

We now derive a condition for the existence of a fixed point under the action of J^*.

Sublemma 3.7. *Continue to assume that $\alpha_n \in \Pi_\nu$. Let $\mu \in X_*(T)_{M_\nu,-}$, let $\zeta \in \mu_2$, and suppose that $\widetilde{S}''_{\mu,2\lambda,\zeta}$ has a fixed point under the conjugation action of J^*. Then $\mu = 2\lambda$ or $\mu = 2\lambda + \alpha_n^\vee$.*

Proof of Sublemma 3.7. Suppose first that $n \in N_1^-$ and $(N_1^- \cap K)n$ is fixed under conjugation by J. We claim that $(N_1^- \cap K)n = (N_1^- \cap K)u_{-\alpha_n}(x)$ for some $x \in \varpi^{-1}\mathcal{O}$. The proof of Sublemma 3.5 shows that J normalizes $(N_1^- \cap K)$, so the commutator $[j, n]$ belongs to $(N_1^- \cap K)$ for each $j \in J$. Sublemma 3.6(2) implies that $(N_1^- \cap K)n = (N_1^- \cap K)u_{-\alpha_n}(x)$ for some $x \in F$. Setting $j = (-\alpha_n^\vee)(1 + \varpi) \in J$, we see that

$$[j, u_{-\alpha_n}(x)] = u_{-\alpha_n}\left(x((1+\varpi)^2 - 1)\right) \in (N_1^- \cap K).$$

The difference $((1+\varpi)^2 - 1)$ lies in $\varpi\mathcal{O} \smallsetminus \varpi^2\mathcal{O}$ since $\mathrm{char}(\mathfrak{k}) \neq 2$, so $x \in \varpi^{-1}\mathcal{O}$.

Now let $\mu \in X_*(T)_{M_\nu,-}$ and suppose that there exists a fixed point for the action of J^* on $\widetilde{S}''_{\mu,2\lambda,\zeta}$. Since the covering splits uniquely over each unipotent subgroup, the above paragraph shows that the invariant coset in $\widetilde{S}''_{\mu,2\lambda,\zeta}$ is represented by $\widetilde{u}_{-\alpha_n}(x)$ for some $x \in \varpi^{-1}\mathcal{O}$. By definition of $\widetilde{S}''_{\mu,2\lambda,\zeta}$, we have

$$(N_1^- \cap K)u_{-\alpha_n}(x)\mu(\varpi) \subset (M_\nu \cap K)\lambda(\varpi)^2(M_\nu \cap K)$$

for some $x \in \varpi^{-1}\mathcal{O}$. If $x \in \mathcal{O}$, the Cartan decomposition implies that $\mu = 2\lambda$. We may therefore assume $x \in \varpi^{-1}\mathcal{O} \smallsetminus \mathcal{O}$. In this case, $u_{\alpha_n}(x^{-1})$ and $\mu(\varpi)^{-1}u_{\alpha_n}(x^{-1})\mu(\varpi) = u_{\alpha_n}(\varpi^{-\langle\alpha_n,\mu\rangle}x^{-1})$ both lie in $M_\nu \cap K$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}(N_1^- \cap K)u_{-\alpha_n}(x)\mu(\varpi) &= (N_1^- \cap K)u_{\alpha_n}(x^{-1})w_{\alpha_n}\alpha_n^\vee(x)u_{\alpha_n}(x^{-1})\mu(\varpi) \\ &\subset (M_\nu \cap K)\alpha_n^\vee(\varpi)^{-1}\mu(\varpi)(M_\nu \cap K),\end{aligned}$$

where $w_{\alpha_n} := u_{\alpha_n}(1)u_{-\alpha_n}(-1)u_{\alpha_n}(1)$ (cf. [29], Lemma 8.1.4). Therefore $(M_\nu \cap K)\alpha_n^\vee(\varpi)^{-1}\mu(\varpi)(M_\nu \cap K) = (M_\nu \cap K)\lambda(\varpi)^2(M_\nu \cap K)$ and by the Cartan decomposition we obtain $\mu = 2\lambda + \alpha_n^\vee$ (the last conclusion uses the fact that $\mu - \alpha_n^\vee \in 2X_*(T)$). □

Proposition 2.2(5), Proposition 5.1 of [14], and Proposition 2.8 show that in order to compute $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}(T_{2\lambda}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu})$, it suffices to know its values on $X_*(T)_{M_\nu,-}$. We have noted that the value of $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}(T_{2\lambda}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu})$ at $\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi)$ is equal

to $|\widetilde{S}''_{\mu,2\lambda,1}| - |\widetilde{S}''_{\mu,2\lambda,-1}|$, and the above sublemmas show that this difference is nonzero for a cocharacter $\mu \in X_*(T)_{M_\nu,-}$ only if $\mu = 2\lambda$ or $\mu = 2\lambda + \alpha_n^\vee$. Hence (9) now implies

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}(T_{2\lambda}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu}) = \begin{cases} T_{2\lambda}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}} & \text{if } 1 \le i \le n-1, \\ T_{2\lambda}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}} + d \cdot T_{2\lambda+\alpha_n^\vee}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}} & \text{if } i = n, \end{cases}$$

where $d := |\widetilde{S}''_{2\lambda+\alpha_n^\vee,2\lambda,1}| - |\widetilde{S}''_{2\lambda+\alpha_n^\vee,2\lambda,-1}|$. (Note that $2\lambda + \alpha_n^\vee \notin X_*(T)_{M_\nu,-}$ when $\alpha_n \in \Pi_\nu$ and $i \neq n$, and $|\widetilde{S}''_{2\lambda,2\lambda,1}| = 1$, $|\widetilde{S}''_{2\lambda,2\lambda,-1}| = 0$.) Therefore, we obtain

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda}) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}) & \text{if } 1 \le i \le n-1, \\ \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}} + d \cdot T_{2\lambda+\alpha_n^\vee}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}) & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Assume first that $1 \le i \le n-1$. Using Proposition 6.7 of [14] and Proposition 2.8, we obtain

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda}) = \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}) = \tau_{2\lambda} - \tau_{2\lambda+\alpha_i^\vee},$$

which completes the proof in the case $1 \le i \le n-1$.

Finally, assume $i = n$. In this case, $\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi)^2$ is central in $\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}$, and Proposition 2.2(5) implies

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda}) = \tau_{2\lambda} + d \cdot \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda+\alpha_n^\vee}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}).$$

Since $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}(T_{2\lambda+\alpha_n^\vee}^{\widetilde{M}_\nu \cap \widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}}) = \tau_{2\lambda+\alpha_n^\vee} + \sum_{\mu \neq 2\lambda+\alpha_n^\vee} c_{2\lambda+\alpha_n^\vee}(\mu)\tau_\mu$, we can use Corollary 2.7 to conclude that $d = 0$. Thus, we obtain

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda}) = \tau_{2\lambda}.$$

□

3.2. **Alternate proof of Theorem 3.1 for short simple roots.** We wish to present a more conceptual proof of the change-of-weight theorem, suggested by Florian Herzig, in the case of a fixed short simple root α_i, $1 \le i \le n-1$. As above, it suffices to prove Proposition 3.3.

As in the previous proof, we take

$$\lambda := -\sum_{j=1}^{i} \lambda_j,$$

so that $\langle \alpha_j, -\lambda \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$. By Proposition 2.2(5), we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda}) = \sum_{\substack{\mu \in X_*(T)_- \\ \mu \ge 2\lambda}} c_{2\lambda}(\mu)\tau_\mu, \tag{10}$$

with $c_{2\lambda}(2\lambda) = 1$. The condition $\mu \ge 2\lambda$ gives $\mu = 2\lambda + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \alpha_k^\vee$ for $a_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$, while the condition $\mu \in X_*(T)_-$ is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \langle \alpha_j, \alpha_k^\vee \rangle \le 2\langle \alpha_j, -\lambda \rangle = 2\delta_{i,j}$$

for each $1 \le j \le n$.

We define

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ \vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0})^n : \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \langle \alpha_j, \alpha_k^\vee \rangle \le 2\langle \alpha_j, -\lambda \rangle \right\},$$

so that equation (10) takes the form

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda}) = \sum_{\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}} c_{2\lambda}(2\lambda + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\alpha})\tau_{2\lambda+\vec{a}\cdot\vec{\alpha}} \tag{11}$$

where $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_n^\vee)$. To proceed further, we require a useful combinatorial property of the set $\mathcal{A}$. Fix $\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}$, and define

$$\mathcal{A}_{\vec{a}}^{\neq i} := \{ \vec{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathcal{A} : b_j = a_j \text{ for all } j \neq i \}.$$

Since $\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}_{\vec{a}}^{\neq i}$, we have $\mathcal{A}_{\vec{a}}^{\neq i} \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 3.8. *Fix $\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}$, and let $\{\vec{\varepsilon}_j : 1 \le j \le n\}$ denote the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$.*

(1) If $a_j = 0$ for all $j \neq i$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\vec{a}}^{\neq i} = \{\vec{0},\ \vec{\varepsilon}_i\}$.
(2) If $a_j \neq 0$ for some $j \neq i$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\vec{a}}^{\neq i} = \{\vec{a}\}$.

Proof. Fix $\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}$, and let $\vec{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathcal{A}$ denote the "minimal" element of $\mathcal{A}_{\vec{a}}^{\neq i}$; that is, we have $b_j = a_j$ for all $j \neq i$, and b_i is as small as possible. Then all elements of $\mathcal{A}_{\vec{a}}^{\neq i}$ are of the form $\vec{b} + m\vec{\varepsilon}_i$ with $m \geq 0$.

Let $\mathcal{C} = (\langle \alpha_j, \alpha_k^\vee \rangle)_{j,k}$ denote the Cartan matrix of $\mathbf{Sp}_{2n}$, and define $\vec{c} := \mathcal{C}\vec{b}$. As $\vec{b} \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $c_j \leq 2\delta_{i,j}$ for all j. Assume first that $c_i \leq 0$, so that all entries of $\vec{c}$ are nonpositive. Since all entries of $\mathcal{C}^{-1}$ are nonnegative (cf. [16], §13, Table 1, or [18]), we see that all entries of $\vec{b} = \mathcal{C}^{-1}\vec{c}$ are nonpositive. On the other hand, $\vec{b} \in \mathcal{A}$, which implies $\vec{b} = \vec{0}$. We have $m\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \leq 2$ if and only if $m \leq 1$, so this gives $\mathcal{A}_{\vec{a}}^{\neq i} = \{\vec{0}, \vec{\varepsilon}_i\}$.

We may therefore assume $1 \leq c_i \leq 2$. The i^{th} entry of $\mathcal{C}(\vec{b} + m\vec{\varepsilon}_i)$ is $c_i + \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle m = c_i + 2m$. Assuming $\vec{b} + m\vec{\varepsilon}_i \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $c_i + 2m \leq 2$, which implies $m = 0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_{\vec{a}}^{\neq i} = \{\vec{b}\} = \{\vec{a}\}$. □

We now analyze Hecke eigenvalues of principal series representations. Let $P_i = M_i \ltimes N_i$ denote the standard parabolic subgroup corresponding to $\{\alpha_i\}$ with standard Levi subgroup M_i, so that $M_i \cong (F^\times)^{i-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_2(F) \times (F^\times)^{n-i-1}$. Let $\xi : T \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$ denote a smooth character of T. We assume throughout that $\xi \circ \alpha_i^\vee : F^\times \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$ is the trivial character, so that ξ extends to a one-dimensional representation of M_i. We continue to denote this representation of M_i by ξ. By Theorem 30 of [3], we have a short exact sequence of M_i-representations

$$0 \longrightarrow \xi \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{B^- \cap M_i}^{M_i}(\xi) \longrightarrow \xi \otimes \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{12}$$

where $\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}$ denotes the Steinberg representation of the GL_2 factor.

We inflate the short exact sequence (12) to $\widetilde{M}_i$ to obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow \xi \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^- \cap \widetilde{M}_i}^{\widetilde{M}_i}(\xi) \longrightarrow \xi \otimes \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here, the character ξ is viewed as a character of $\widetilde{M}_i$ via pr, and the $\widetilde{M}_i$-equivariant isomorphism $\mathrm{Ind}_{B^- \cap M_i}^{M_i}(\xi) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^- \cap \widetilde{M}_i}^{\widetilde{M}_i}(\xi)$ is defined by sending f to $f \circ \mathsf{pr}$. We further twist the above sequence by $\chi_\psi|_{\widetilde{M}_i}$ to obtain an exact sequence of genuine $\widetilde{M}_i$-representations:

$$0 \longrightarrow \xi \otimes \chi_\psi \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^- \cap \widetilde{M}_i}^{\widetilde{M}_i}(\xi) \otimes \chi_\psi \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^- \cap \widetilde{M}_i}^{\widetilde{M}_i}(\xi \otimes \chi_\psi) \longrightarrow \xi \otimes \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \otimes \chi_\psi \longrightarrow 0.$$

Finally, we parabolically induce this sequence to $\widetilde{G}$ to get

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}_i^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\xi \otimes \chi_\psi) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\xi \otimes \chi_\psi) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}_i^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\xi \otimes \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \otimes \chi_\psi) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{13}$$

Consider again the weight $\widetilde{V}$, and assume we have an $\widetilde{K}$-equivariant injection $f : \widetilde{V} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}_i^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\xi \otimes \chi_\psi)|_{\widetilde{K}}$ (this happens if $\widetilde{V}_{(U^- \cap K)^*} \cong \xi \otimes \chi_\psi|_{\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K}}$ as $(\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K})$-representations). Composing this with the injection in the short exact sequence (13), we obtain

$$0 \neq \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{K}}\left(\widetilde{V}, \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\xi \otimes \chi_\psi)|_{\widetilde{K}}\right) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K}}\left(\widetilde{V}_{(U^- \cap K)^*}, \xi \otimes \chi_\psi|_{\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K}}\right).$$

We let $f_{\widetilde{T}} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K}}(\widetilde{V}_{(U^- \cap K)^*}, \xi \otimes \chi_\psi|_{\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K}})$ denote the element corresponding to f, characterized by $f_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{v}) = f(v)(1)$ for $v \in \widetilde{V}$. Additionally, we let

$$\jmath : \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K}}\left(\widetilde{V}_{(U^- \cap K)^*}, \xi \otimes \chi_\psi|_{\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K}}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{K}}\left(\widetilde{V}, \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\xi \otimes \chi_\psi)|_{\widetilde{K}}\right)$$

denote the inverse of the above map; then $\jmath$ sends $f_{\widetilde{T}}$ to f. The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})$ naturally acts on the multiplicity space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{K}}(\widetilde{V}, \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\xi \otimes \chi_\psi)|_{\widetilde{K}})$ on the right, and likewise $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{T}}(\widetilde{V}_{(U^- \cap K)^*})$ acts on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K}}(\widetilde{V}_{(U^- \cap K)^*}, \xi \otimes \chi_\psi|_{\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K}})$, so the functorial properties of the Satake transform ([12], diagram (4)) give

$$f * T_{2\lambda} = \jmath\left(f_{\widetilde{T}} * \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda})\right),$$

where $(f * T_{\lambda'})(v) = \sum_{g \in \widetilde{K} \backslash \widetilde{G}} g^{-1} \cdot f(T_{\lambda'}(g)(v))$ (and we have an analogous expression for $f_{\widetilde{T}} * \tau_{\mu'}$; see [14], §2.1.2).

Fix $v \in \widetilde{V}$. By the explicit action of $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{T}}(\widetilde{V}_{(U^- \cap K)^*})$ on $f_{\widetilde{T}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(f_{\widetilde{T}} * \tau_\mu)(\overline{v}) &= \sum_{t \in (\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K}) \backslash \widetilde{T}} t^{-1} \cdot f_{\widetilde{T}}(\tau_\mu(t)(\overline{v})) \\ &= \widetilde{\mu}(\varpi)^{-1} \cdot f_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{v}) \\ &= (\xi \otimes \chi_\psi)(\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi))^{-1} f_{\widetilde{T}}(\overline{v}).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using equation (11) gives

$$\begin{aligned}f * T_{2\lambda} &= \jmath\left(f_{\widetilde{T}} * \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda})\right) \\ &= \jmath\left(f_{\widetilde{T}} * \left(\sum_{\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}} c_{2\lambda}(2\lambda + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\alpha}) \tau_{2\lambda + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\alpha}}\right)\right) \\ &= \jmath\left(\left(\sum_{\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}} c_{2\lambda}(2\lambda + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\alpha})(\xi \otimes \chi_\psi)(\widetilde{(2\lambda + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\alpha})}(\varpi))^{-1}\right) f_{\widetilde{T}}\right) \\ &= (\xi \otimes \chi_\psi)(\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi))^{-2} \left(\sum_{\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}} c_{2\lambda}(2\lambda + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\alpha}) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} (\xi \otimes \chi_\psi)(\widetilde{\alpha}_j^\vee(\varpi))^{-a_j}\right)\right) f \\ &= (\xi \otimes \chi_\psi)(\widetilde{\lambda}(\varpi))^{-2} \left(\sum_{\text{distinct } \mathcal{A}_{\vec{a}}^{\neq i}} \left(\sum_{\vec{b} \in \mathcal{A}_{\vec{a}}^{\neq i}} c_{2\lambda}(2\lambda + \vec{b} \cdot \vec{\alpha})\right) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} (\xi \otimes \chi_\psi)(\widetilde{\alpha}_j^\vee(\varpi))^{-a_j}\right) f \qquad (14)\end{aligned}$$

The fifth equality follows from the fact that $\xi \otimes \chi_\psi$ is trivial on $\widetilde{\alpha}_i^\vee(x)$. Moreover, for distinct sets $\mathcal{A}_{\vec{a}}^{\neq i}$, $\mathcal{A}_{\vec{a}'}^{\neq i}$, the $(n-1)$-tuples $(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n), (a_1', \ldots, a_{i-1}', a_{i+1}', \ldots, a_n')$ are distinct (this follows directly from the definition).

Now, the map $f : \widetilde{V} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}_i^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\xi \otimes \chi_\psi)|_{\widetilde{K}}$ induces a map $\boldsymbol{f} : \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}_i^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\xi \otimes \chi_\psi)$ by Frobenius reciprocity. This descends to a nonzero map (which we still denote $\boldsymbol{f}$)

$$\boldsymbol{f} : \chi \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})} \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}_i^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\xi \otimes \chi_\psi),$$

where χ denotes the character obtained by composing the Satake transform $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}} : \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{T}}(\widetilde{V}_{(U^- \cap K)^*})$ with the character $\tau_\mu \longmapsto (\xi \otimes \chi_\psi)(\widetilde{\mu}(\varpi))^{-1}$. In addition, the maps φ^-, φ^+ induce maps $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^-, \boldsymbol{\varphi}^+$ as follows:

$$\chi \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})} \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \underset{\boldsymbol{\varphi}^-}{\overset{\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+}{\rightleftarrows}} \chi \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}')} \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}')$$

whose composite is the constant (14). (Here we identify $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{T}}(\widetilde{V}_{(U^- \cap K)^*})$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{T}}(\widetilde{V}'_{(U^- \cap K)^*})$.)

We claim that the constant (14) is equal to 0. Suppose not. Then $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^-$ are isomorphisms, and we obtain a nonzero map

$$\boldsymbol{f} \circ \boldsymbol{\varphi}^- : \chi \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}')} \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}') \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}_i^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\xi \otimes \chi_\psi),$$

which gives, by Frobenius reciprocity, an injection $\widetilde{V}' \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}_i^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\xi \otimes \chi_\psi)|_{\widetilde{K}}$. However, such an injection implies that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{M}_i \cap \widetilde{K}}(\widetilde{V}'_{(N_i^- \cap K)^*}, \xi \otimes \chi_\psi|_{\widetilde{M}_i \cap \widetilde{K}}) \neq 0$, which shows that $\widetilde{V}'_{(N_i^- \cap K)^*}$ is one-dimensional. This contradicts the construction of $\widetilde{V}'$.

Therefore, we have that the constant (14) equals 0, for all ξ such that $\xi \circ \alpha_i^\vee$ is the trivial character and $\widetilde{V}_{(U^- \cap K)^*} \cong \xi \otimes \chi_\psi|_{\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K}}$ as $(\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K})$-representations. Viewing the constant (14) as a polynomial in the variables $(\xi \otimes \chi_\psi)(\widetilde{\alpha}_j^\vee(\varpi))$ for $j \neq i$, we see that this polynomial vanishes at every point of $(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times)^{n-1}$, and therefore must be identically zero by the Nullstellensatz. Using the description of the sets $\mathcal{A}_{\vec{a}}^{\neq i}$, this gives

$$0 = \sum_{\vec{b} \in \mathcal{A}_{\vec{a}}^{\neq i}} c_{2\lambda}(2\lambda + \vec{b} \cdot \vec{\alpha})$$

$$= \begin{cases} c_{2\lambda}(2\lambda) + c_{2\lambda}(2\lambda + \alpha_i^\vee) = 1 + c_{2\lambda}(2\lambda + \alpha_i^\vee) & \text{if } a_j = 0 \text{ for all } j \neq i, \\ c_{2\lambda}(2\lambda + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\alpha}) & \text{if } a_j \neq 0 \text{ for some } j \neq i. \end{cases}$$

We conclude

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{2\lambda}) = \tau_{2\lambda} - \tau_{2\lambda+\alpha_i^\vee}.$$

4. Classification

We now begin our classification of irreducible admissible genuine representations of $\widetilde{G}$. We follow the reductive case closely (cf. [1], [2], [14]).

4.1. **Setup.**

Definition 4.1. Given a simple root $\alpha \in \Pi$, we define $M'_\alpha \subset \widetilde{G}$ to be the subgroup generated by U_α^* and $U_{-\alpha}^*$.

Lemma 4.2. *We have*

$$M'_\alpha \cong \begin{cases} \mathrm{SL}_2(F) & \text{if } \alpha \neq \alpha_n, \\ \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(F) & \text{if } \alpha = \alpha_n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \Pi$, and let $\varphi_\alpha : \mathrm{SL}_2(F) \longrightarrow G$ denote the morphism associated to α. Pulling back the cover $\widetilde{G} \longrightarrow G$ to $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ via φ_α gives the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mu_2 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{G} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \| & & \uparrow & \square & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_\alpha} & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mu_2 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{H} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SL}_2(F) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

The cover $\widetilde{H} \longrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ may be realized by an extension of algebraic groups (as in §2.2), and is therefore determined by the restriction of the quadratic form Q to $\mathbb{Z}\alpha^\vee$. Since $Q(\alpha_i^\vee) = 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $Q(\alpha_n^\vee) = 1$, we see that the extension

$$1 \longrightarrow \mu_2 \longrightarrow \widetilde{H} \longrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(F) \longrightarrow 1$$

defined by φ_α splits if and only if $\alpha \neq \alpha_n$. This gives the claim.

Alternatively, one may obtain the result by computing the extension class of the pullback using Steinberg cocycles (cf. [23]). □

The following lemma will be useful in the definition of a supersingular triple at the end of this section.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\widetilde{M}$ be a Levi subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$, and let $\Pi_M = \Pi_1 \sqcup \Pi_2$ be a partition of Π_M such that $\langle \Pi_1, \Pi_2^\vee \rangle = 0$. Let $\widetilde{M}_i$ denote the Levi subgroup corresponding to Π_i, and let L'_2 denote the subgroup of $\widetilde{T} \subset \widetilde{M}_1$ generated by $\widetilde{T} \cap M'_\beta$ for $\beta \in \Pi_2$. We then have*

$$\widetilde{M}/[\widetilde{M}_2, \widetilde{M}_2] \cong \widetilde{M}_1/L'_2.$$

Proof. This follows easily from the proof of Lemma 3.2 of [2]. Note that we must split up the proof into the cases $\alpha_n \in \Pi_2$ and $\alpha_n \notin \Pi_2$. □

Recall the set $\Pi(\chi)$ used in the statement of the change-of-weight theorem (equation (7)). Given a standard Levi subgroup $\widetilde{M}$, a weight $\widetilde{V}$ of $\widetilde{M}$, and a character $\chi : \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{V}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, we let $\Pi_M(\chi)$ denote the analogously defined subset of Π_M.

Definition 4.4. Let $\widetilde{M}$ be a standard Levi subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$ and σ a representation of $\widetilde{M}$. We say σ is *supersingular (with respect to* $(\widetilde{K} \cap \widetilde{M}, \widetilde{T}, \widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{M})$*)* if it is irreducible, admissible and genuine, and the following condition is satisfied: for all weights $\widetilde{V}$ of $\widetilde{M}$ and for all characters $\chi : \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{V}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ such that there exists a nonzero map $\chi \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{V})} \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{M} \cap \widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{V}) \longrightarrow \sigma$, we have $\Pi_M(\chi) = \Pi_M$.

Remark 4.5. One can similarly define supersingularity with respect to $(\widetilde{K}' \cap \widetilde{M}, \widetilde{T}, \widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{M})$, where $\widetilde{K}'$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$ defined by another hyperspecial point in the apartment corresponding to T. We will show that the notion of supersingularity is independent of such a choice of maximal compact $\widetilde{K}'$; see Lemma 4.16. In the meantime, we will often abbreviate "supersingular with respect to $(\widetilde{K} \cap \widetilde{M}, \widetilde{T}, \widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{M})$" to "supersingular."

As in [2], we begin with a standard parabolic subgroup $\widetilde{P} = \widetilde{M} \ltimes N^*$, and a genuine irreducible admissible supersingular representation σ of $\widetilde{M}$. We define

$$\Pi(\sigma) := \left\{ \alpha \in \Pi : \begin{array}{l} \diamond\ \langle \Pi_M, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0 \\ \diamond\ \text{the group } \widetilde{T} \cap M'_\alpha \text{ acts trivially on } \sigma \end{array} \right\}.$$

Remark 4.6. Note that, unlike the reductive case, we can never have $\alpha_n \in \Pi(\sigma)$ (this follows from Lemma 4.2 and the fact that σ is genuine).

Remark 4.7. Since G is simply connected, we have $\widetilde{T} \cap M'_\alpha = (\widetilde{T} \cap M'_\alpha \cap \widetilde{K}) \times \widetilde{\alpha}^\vee(\varpi)^{\mathbb{Z}}$. In particular, $\widetilde{T} \cap M'_\alpha$ acts trivially on σ if and only if $\widetilde{T} \cap M'_\alpha \cap \widetilde{K}$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}^\vee(\varpi)$ act trivially.

Let $\widetilde{P}(\sigma) = \widetilde{M}(\sigma) \ltimes N(\sigma)^*$ denote the standard parabolic subgroup corresponding to $\Pi_M \sqcup \Pi(\sigma)$, and let $\widetilde{M}_1$ denote the Levi subgroup corresponding to the subset $\Pi(\sigma)$. Since σ is trivial on $\widetilde{T} \cap M'_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in \Pi(\sigma)$, Lemma 4.3 implies that we may extend σ to a genuine representation of $\widetilde{M}(\sigma)$ on which $[\widetilde{M}_1, \widetilde{M}_1]$ acts trivially. We further inflate this representation to $\widetilde{P}(\sigma)^-$ and denote it by ${}^e\sigma$; it is irreducible, admissible, and genuine.

Recall that for any pair of standard parabolic subgroups $P \subset Q$ of G, we have the generalized Steinberg representation of Q^-:

$$\mathrm{St}_{P^-}^{Q^-} := \mathrm{Ind}_{P^-}^{Q^-}(1) \Big/ \sum_{P^- \subsetneq Q'^- \subset Q^-} \mathrm{Ind}_{Q'^-}^{Q^-}(1),$$

where 1 denotes the trivial representation of each respective group. The unipotent radical of Q^- acts trivially on $\mathrm{St}_{P^-}^{Q^-}$, and it is known that $\mathrm{St}_{P^-}^{Q^-}$ is irreducible and admissible ([19], Théorème 3.1). We shall view $\mathrm{St}_{P^-}^{Q^-}$ as a (nongenuine) representation of $\widetilde{Q}^-$ by inflation; the inflated representation continues to be irreducible and admissible. Moreover, the argument of [2] Lemma 5.2 and the discussion preceding it show that $\mathrm{St}_{Q^-}^{P(\sigma)^-}|_{[\widetilde{M}_1, \widetilde{M}_1]}$ is irreducible and admissible.

We consider triples $(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$, where $\widetilde{P}^-$ and σ are as above, and $\widetilde{Q}^-$ is a parabolic subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$ satisfying $\widetilde{P}^- \subset \widetilde{Q}^- \subset \widetilde{P}(\sigma)^-$. We call such a triple a *supersingular triple*. Two supersingular triples $(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-), (\widetilde{P}'^-, \sigma', \widetilde{Q}'^-)$ are defined to be equivalent if $\widetilde{P}'^- = \widetilde{P}^-$, $\widetilde{Q}'^- = \widetilde{Q}^-$ and $\sigma' \cong \sigma$. To a triple $(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$, we associate the genuine representation ${}^e\sigma \otimes \mathrm{St}_{Q^-}^{P(\sigma)^-}$ (which is irreducible and admissible by [2], Lemmas 3.23 and 5.3). We then set

$$I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-) := \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}(\sigma)^-}^{\widetilde{G}} \left({}^e\sigma \otimes \mathrm{St}_{Q^-}^{P(\sigma)^-} \right).$$

The properties of parabolic induction imply that $I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$ is admissible and genuine.

4.2. **Main results.** We proceed in several stages.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$ be a supersingular triple, and let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{K}}(\widetilde{V}, I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)|_{\widetilde{K}})$ denote a nonzero eigenvector for $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})$, with associated eigenvalues $\chi : \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Then $\Pi(\chi) = \Pi_M$.*

Proof. This follows in exactly the same way as III.18 Corollary of [1]. Note that necessary properties of $\mathrm{St}_{P^-}^{Q^-}$ follow from the reductive case, since this representation is nongenuine, and therefore descends to the reductive quotient. □

Proposition 4.9. *Given a supersingular triple $(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$, the representation $I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$ is irreducible, admissible, and genuine.*

Proof. It only remains to prove that $I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$ is irreducible. Let $\widetilde{V}$ be a weight appearing in $I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)|_{\widetilde{K}}$, and assume that $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{K}}(\widetilde{V}, I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)|_{\widetilde{K}})$ is a nonzero eigenvector for $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})$, the existence of which

follows from admissibility. Let $\chi : \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ denote the set of eigenvalues of φ. The map φ induces a nonzero $\widetilde{G}$-morphism

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi} : \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \longrightarrow I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-),$$

and we let

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\widetilde{M}(\sigma)} : \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{M}(\sigma)\cap\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{M}(\sigma)}(\widetilde{V}_{(N(\sigma)^-\cap K)^*}) \twoheadrightarrow {}^e\sigma \otimes \mathrm{St}_{Q^-}^{P(\sigma)^-}$$

denote the $\widetilde{M}(\sigma)$-equivariant map associated to $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ (cf. [12], equation (2)), which is surjective by irreducibility of ${}^e\sigma \otimes \mathrm{St}_{Q^-}^{P(\sigma)^-}$. Since parabolic induction is exact, we obtain a $\widetilde{G}$-equivariant surjection

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}(\sigma)^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\widetilde{M}(\sigma)}) : \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}(\sigma)^-}^{\widetilde{G}}\left(\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{M}(\sigma)\cap\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{M}(\sigma)}(\widetilde{V}_{(N(\sigma)^-\cap K)^*})\right) \twoheadrightarrow I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-).$$

We claim that $\varphi(\widetilde{V})$ generates $I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$. We write $\widetilde{V} = \widetilde{F}(\nu)$ for $\nu \in X_q(T)$, and use induction on $|\Pi_\nu \smallsetminus (\Pi_M \sqcup \Pi(\sigma))|$. If this set has size 0, we have $\Pi_\nu \subset \Pi_M \sqcup \Pi(\sigma)$, and Proposition 2.4 and the discussion above show that we have a surjection

$$\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}(\sigma)}(\widetilde{V}_{(N(\sigma)^-\cap K)^*}) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})} \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \twoheadrightarrow I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-).$$

By Proposition 2.2(3) and the diagram on p. 465 of *loc. cit.*, this map is the localization of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ at an element whose Satake transform is central and invertible in $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}(\sigma)}(\widetilde{V}_{(N(\sigma)^-\cap K)^*})$. Therefore, diagram (4) of *loc. cit.* shows that the character χ extends to $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}(\sigma)}(\widetilde{V}_{(N(\sigma)^-\cap K)^*})$, and the localization of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ descends to a surjection (which we denote by the same letter)

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi} : \chi \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})} \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \twoheadrightarrow I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-).$$

We conclude that $\varphi(\widetilde{V})$ generates $I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$.

Assume now that $|\Pi_\nu \smallsetminus (\Pi_M \sqcup \Pi(\sigma))| > 0$, and fix $\alpha \in \Pi_\nu \smallsetminus (\Pi_M \sqcup \Pi(\sigma))$. Since $\Pi_M = \Pi(\chi)$ by Proposition 4.8, we have that $\alpha \notin \Pi(\chi)$. Suppose for the moment that $\alpha \neq \alpha_n$, and that $\langle \Pi(\chi), \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0$. We then have $\alpha^\vee \in X_*(T)_{M,-}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}}^{\widetilde{T}}(T_{\alpha^\vee}^{\widetilde{M}}) = \tau_{\alpha^\vee}$ (cf. equation (6)). By III.18 Proposition of [1], the $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})$-eigenvector φ descends to the $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{V}_{(N^-\cap K)^*})$-eigenvector $\varphi_M : \widetilde{V}_{(N^-\cap K)^*} \hookrightarrow \sigma|_{\widetilde{K}\cap\widetilde{M}}$ (with corresponding eigenvalues χ'), so that

$$(\chi' \circ (\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}}^{\widetilde{T}})^{-1})(\tau_{\alpha^\vee})\varphi_M(\overline{v}) = \chi'(T_{\alpha^\vee}^{\widetilde{M}})\varphi_M(\overline{v}) = (\varphi_M * T_{\alpha^\vee}^{\widetilde{M}})(\overline{v}) = \widetilde{\alpha}^\vee(\varpi)^{-1} \cdot (\varphi_M(\overline{v})),$$

where $v \in \widetilde{V}$, and where the last equality follows from the fact that $\widetilde{\alpha}^\vee(\varpi)$ is central in $\widetilde{M}$. Using the fact that $\alpha \neq \alpha_n$, Lemma 5.5 of [2] shows that $\widetilde{T} \cap M'_\alpha \cap \widetilde{K}$ acts trivially on σ.

Suppose now only that $\alpha \neq \alpha_n$. Since $\alpha \notin \Pi(\sigma)$, either $\langle \Pi(\chi), \alpha^\vee \rangle \neq 0$, or $\langle \Pi(\chi), \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0$ and the subgroup $\widetilde{T} \cap M'_\alpha$ acts nontrivially on σ. In the latter case, the above discussion and Remark 4.7 show that $\widetilde{\alpha}^\vee(\varpi)$ acts nontrivially, and consequently $(\chi' \circ (\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}}^{\widetilde{T}})^{-1})(\tau_{\alpha^\vee}) \neq 1$. We are therefore in a position to apply Theorem 3.1 in all cases, and the result follows by induction. □

Corollary 4.10. *Let $\widetilde{P} = \widetilde{M} \ltimes N^*$ denote a standard parabolic subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$, and σ an irreducible admissible genuine supersingular representation of $\widetilde{M}$. Then the composition factors of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma)$ are given by $\{I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-) : \widetilde{P}^- \subset \widetilde{Q}^- \subset \widetilde{P}(\sigma)^-\}$.*

Proof. By definition of the parabolic subgroup $\widetilde{P}(\sigma)^- = \widetilde{M}(\sigma) \ltimes N(\sigma)^{-,*}$, we have an extension ${}^e\sigma$ to $\widetilde{M}(\sigma)$, which satisfies $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}^-\cap\widetilde{M}(\sigma)}^{\widetilde{M}(\sigma)}(\sigma) \cong {}^e\sigma \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}^-\cap\widetilde{M}(\sigma)}^{\widetilde{M}(\sigma)}(1)$. Since $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}^-\cap\widetilde{M}(\sigma)}^{\widetilde{M}(\sigma)}(1)$ is a nongenuine representation inflated from the representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{P^-\cap M(\sigma)}^{M(\sigma)}(1)$ of $M(\sigma)$, the composition factors of ${}^e\sigma \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}^-\cap\widetilde{M}(\sigma)}^{\widetilde{M}(\sigma)}(1)$ are given by $\{{}^e\sigma \otimes \mathrm{St}_{Q^-}^{P(\sigma)^-} : P^- \subset Q^- \subset P(\sigma)\}$ ([14], Corollary 7.3). Since parabolic induction is exact, the result follows. □

Proposition 4.11. *Assume $(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$ and $(\widetilde{P}'^-, \sigma', \widetilde{Q}'^-)$ are two supersingular triples such that*

$$I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-) \cong I(\widetilde{P}'^-, \sigma', \widetilde{Q}'^-).$$

Then $(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$ and $(\widetilde{P}'^-, \sigma', \widetilde{Q}'^-)$ are equivalent.

Proof. This follows in the same way as the proof in §III.24 of [1], using the functor of ordinary parts. □

Proposition 4.12. *Let π be an irreducible admissible genuine representation of $\widetilde{G}$. Then there exists a supersingular triple $(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$ such that $\pi \cong I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$.*

Proof. Assume first that for every weight $\widetilde{V}$ of π and every set of Hecke eigenvalues χ, we have $\Pi(\chi) = \Pi$. This implies that π is supersingular and $\pi \cong I(\widetilde{G}, \pi, \widetilde{G})$. We may therefore assume that we are given $\widetilde{V} = \widetilde{F}(\nu)$ and χ for which $\Pi(\chi) \neq \Pi$, so that we have a surjective morphism

$$\chi \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})} \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow \pi.$$

We write $\chi = \chi' \circ \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{G}}^{\widetilde{M}_{\Pi(\chi)}}$ for some character $\chi' : \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}_{\Pi(\chi)}}(\widetilde{V}_{(N^-_{\Pi(\chi)} \cap K)^*}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. We may further assume that $|\Pi_\nu|$ is as small as possible.

Suppose $\alpha_i \in \Pi_\nu \smallsetminus \Pi(\chi)$, and set $\nu' = \nu + (q-1)\omega_{\alpha_i}$. We assume that either $i = n$, or that $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and one of the following holds: (1) $\langle \Pi(\chi), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \neq 0$; (2) $(\chi' \circ (\mathcal{S}^{\widetilde{T}}_{\widetilde{M}_{\Pi(\chi)}})^{-1})(\tau_{\alpha_i^\vee}) \neq 1$. In both cases, Theorem 3.1 shows that we may replace Π_ν with $\Pi_{\nu'}$, which contradicts the minimality of $|\Pi_\nu|$. Therefore, we have $\alpha_n \notin \Pi_\nu \smallsetminus \Pi(\chi)$, and for every $\alpha \in \Pi_\nu \smallsetminus \Pi(\chi)$ we have $\langle \Pi(\chi), \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0$ and $(\chi' \circ (\mathcal{S}^{\widetilde{T}}_{\widetilde{M}_{\Pi(\chi)}})^{-1})(\tau_{\alpha^\vee}) = 1$.

Assume that $\Pi_\nu \cup \Pi(\chi) = \Pi$. By the above, we have $\langle \Pi(\chi), (\Pi \smallsetminus \Pi(\chi))^\vee \rangle = 0$. Since the root system of $\mathbf{Sp}_{2n}$ is irreducible, this implies $\Pi(\chi) = \emptyset$ and $\Pi_\nu = \Pi$. However, the above paragraph shows $\alpha_n \notin \Pi_\nu$, and we have a contradiction. We may therefore assume $\Pi_\nu \cup \Pi(\chi) \neq \Pi$.

We now induct on n. For $n = 1$, we have $\Pi_\nu = \Pi(\chi) = \emptyset$, and Proposition 2.4 gives

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\xi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \chi \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})} \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow \pi,$$

where ξ is the genuine character of $\widetilde{T}$ given by $\chi' \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{T}}(\widetilde{V}_{(U^- \cap K)^*})} \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{T}}(\widetilde{V}_{(U^- \cap K)^*})$. By Proposition 4.9, $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\xi) = I(\widetilde{B}^-, \xi, \widetilde{B}^-)$ is irreducible, and the above surjection is an isomorphism.

Assume now that $n > 1$, and let $\widetilde{P} = \widetilde{M} \ltimes N^*$ denote the standard parabolic subgroup associated to $\Pi_\nu \cup \Pi(\chi)$. Note that $\widetilde{V}$ is $\widetilde{M}$-regular by construction. Again applying Proposition 2.4 gives

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}^-}^{\widetilde{G}} \left(\chi' \circ \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{M}}^{\widetilde{M}_{\Pi(\chi)}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{V}_{(N^- \cap K)^*})} \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{M} \cap \widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{V}_{(N^- \cap K)^*}) \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \chi \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})} \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}) \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow \pi.$$

As in Lemma 9.9 of [14], this implies that there exists an irreducible admissible genuine representation σ of $\widetilde{M}$ and a $\widetilde{G}$-equivariant surjection

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma) \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow \pi.$$

The assumption $\Pi_\nu \cup \Pi(\chi) \neq \Pi$ implies that the Levi subgroup M has the form

$$M \cong \mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(F) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_r}(F) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(F)$$

with $r, m, n_i \geq 1$ and $m + \sum_{i=1}^r n_i = n$. The preimage $\widetilde{M}$ therefore takes the form $\widetilde{M} \cong \widetilde{H}_1 \times_{\mu_2} \widetilde{H}_2$, where $\widetilde{H}_1$ is the preimage of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(F) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_r}(F) \subset M_{\mathrm{S}}$, and $\widetilde{H}_2 \cong \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2m}(F)$. One sees easily that every irreducible admissible genuine representation of $\widetilde{M}$ is of the form $\sigma_1 \boxtimes \sigma_2$, where σ_i is an irreducible admissible genuine representation of $\widetilde{H}_i$. By the discussion in §2.7 and Theorem 9.8 of [14], σ_1 is a twist by χ_ψ of an irreducible admissible representation of $H_1 = \mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(F) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_r}(F)$, and therefore has the desired form. Likewise, by induction we get that σ_2 is of the desired form. Therefore, there exists a parabolic subgroup $\widetilde{P}_0^- = \widetilde{M}_0 \ltimes N_0^{-,*}$ and an irreducible admissible genuine supersingular representation σ_0 of $\widetilde{M}_0$ such that π is a subquotient of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}_0^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma_0)$. By Corollary 4.10, the result follows. □

We arrive at our main theorem:

Theorem 4.13. *The map $(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-) \longmapsto I(\widetilde{P}^-, \sigma, \widetilde{Q}^-)$ gives a bijection between equivalence classes of triples and isomorphism classes of irreducible admissible genuine representations of $\widetilde{G}$.*

Proof. This follows by collecting together Propositions 4.9, 4.11, and 4.12. □

4.3. **Corollaries.** We now explore several consequences of the above results.

Let $\widetilde{M}$ be a standard Levi subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$. We say that a representation π of $\widetilde{M}$ is *supercuspidal* if π is irreducible, admissible, and genuine, and if π is not isomorphic to a subquotient of a parabolic induction $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{Q}^-}^{\widetilde{M}}(\sigma)$ for any proper standard parabolic subgroup $\widetilde{Q}$ of $\widetilde{M}$ and any irreducible admissible genuine representation σ of the standard Levi factor of $\widetilde{Q}$.

Proposition 4.14. *A representation π of $\widetilde{G}$ is supersingular with respect to $(\widetilde{K},\widetilde{T},\widetilde{B})$ if and only if it is supercuspidal.*

Proof. This follows in same way as VI.2 Theorem of [1], using Theorem 4.13 and Corollary 4.10. □

Corollary 4.15. *Let $\widetilde{M}$ be a standard Levi subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$. A representation σ of $\widetilde{M}$ is supersingular with respect to $(\widetilde{K}\cap\widetilde{M},\widetilde{T},\widetilde{B}\cap\widetilde{M})$ if and only if σ is supercuspidal.*

Proof. We have $\widetilde{M}\cong\widetilde{H}_1\times_{\mu_2}\widetilde{H}_2$ as in the final step of the proof of Proposition 4.12, so that $H_1\cong\mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(F)\times\cdots\times\mathrm{GL}_{n_r}(F)$ and $H_2\cong\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(F)$ (where we now allow either one of H_1 or H_2 to be trivial). The representation σ decomposes as

$$\sigma\cong(\sigma_1\otimes\chi_\psi)\boxtimes\sigma_2,$$

where σ_1 is an irreducible admissible representation of H_1 inflated to $\widetilde{H}_1$, and σ_2 is an irreducible admissible genuine representation of $\widetilde{H}_2$. One easily sees that σ is supersingular with respect to $(\widetilde{K}\cap\widetilde{M},\widetilde{T},\widetilde{B}\cap\widetilde{M})$ (resp. σ is supercuspidal) if and only if σ_1 is supersingular with respect to $(K\cap H_1,T,B\cap H_1)$ and σ_2 is supersingular with respect to $(\widetilde{K}\cap\widetilde{H}_2,\widetilde{T},\widetilde{B}\cap\widetilde{H}_2)$ (resp. both σ_1 and σ_2 are supercuspidal). The result then follows from Proposition 4.14 and VI.2 Theorem of [1]. □

Next, we discuss the dependence of the notion of supersingularity on the choice of the triple $(\widetilde{K},\widetilde{T},\widetilde{B})$. Let $(\widetilde{K}',\widetilde{T}',\widetilde{B}')$ denote any other such triple. There exists some $\widetilde{\gamma}\in\widetilde{\mathrm{GSp}}_{2n}(F)$ such that

$$(\widetilde{K}',\widetilde{T}',\widetilde{B}')=(\widetilde{\gamma}\widetilde{K}\widetilde{\gamma}^{-1},\widetilde{\gamma}\widetilde{T}\widetilde{\gamma}^{-1},\widetilde{\gamma}\widetilde{B}\widetilde{\gamma}^{-1}),$$

and it is clear that conjugation by $\widetilde{\gamma}$ induces a bijection $\widetilde{V}\longmapsto\widetilde{V}^{\widetilde{\gamma}}$ of weights as well as isomorphisms $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V})\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{V}^{\widetilde{\gamma}})$ (and likewise for Levi subgroups) which are compatible with Satake transforms on each side. One may then replace $(\widetilde{K},\widetilde{T},\widetilde{B})$ with $(\widetilde{K}',\widetilde{T}',\widetilde{B}')$ in Definition 4.4 and obtain the following:

Lemma 4.16. *A representation π of $\widetilde{G}$ is supersingular with respect to $(\widetilde{K},\widetilde{T},\widetilde{B})$ if and only if π is supersingular with respect to $(\widetilde{K}',\widetilde{T}',\widetilde{B}')$ as above. If $\widetilde{K}'$ is a conjugate of $\widetilde{K}$ by an element of the maximal torus of $\widetilde{\mathrm{GSp}}_{2n}(F)$ containing $\widetilde{T}$, then a representation σ of a standard Levi subgroup $\widetilde{M}$ of $\widetilde{G}$ is supersingular with respect to $(\widetilde{K}\cap\widetilde{M},\widetilde{T},\widetilde{B}\cap\widetilde{M})$ if and only if it is supersingular with respect to $(\widetilde{K}'\cap\widetilde{M},\widetilde{T},\widetilde{B}\cap\widetilde{M})$. Thus the results of Theorem 4.13 do not depend on the choice of such $\widetilde{K}'$.*

Proof. It only remains to prove the second part. We may decompose σ as

$$\sigma\cong(\sigma_1\otimes\chi_\psi)\boxtimes\sigma_2,$$

as in Corollary 4.15; the result then follows by applying the first part of the lemma to σ_2, and applying the analogous result in the reductive case (cf. [2], Corollary 5.13) to σ_1. □

Finally, we use Theorem 4.13 to describe representations of $\widetilde{G}$ induced from the Siegel Levi.

Lemma 4.17. *Let σ be an irreducible admissible representation of M_{S}. Then $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}_{\mathrm{S}}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma\otimes\chi_\psi)$ is an irreducible admissible genuine representation of $\widetilde{G}$, where σ is viewed as a nongenuine representation of $\widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}$ by inflation.*

Proof. By the classification theorem for $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, there exists a standard parabolic subgroup $P\subset M_{\mathrm{S}}$ with Levi factor M, a supersingular representation ρ of M, and a parabolic subgroup Q with $P\subset Q\subset P(\rho)_{\mathrm{S}}$ such that

$$\sigma\cong\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\rho)_{\mathrm{S}}^-}^{M_{\mathrm{S}}}\left({}^{e}\rho\otimes\mathrm{St}_{Q^-}^{P(\rho)_{\mathrm{S}}^-}\right).$$

Here $P(\rho)_{\mathrm{S}}$ is the standard parabolic subgroup of M_{S} associated to the subset of simple roots

$$\Pi(\rho)_{\mathrm{S}}:=\left\{\alpha\in\Pi_{\mathrm{S}}:\ \begin{array}{l}\diamond\ \langle\Pi_M,\alpha^\vee\rangle=0\\ \diamond\ \alpha^\vee(x)\text{ acts trivially on }\rho\text{ for all }x\in F^\times\end{array}\right\},$$

and ${}^e\rho$ is an extension of ρ to the Levi factor $M(\rho)_{\mathrm{S}}$ of $P(\rho)_{\mathrm{S}}$. Therefore

$$\sigma \otimes \chi_\psi \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{P(\rho)_{\mathrm{S}}^-}^{M_{\mathrm{S}}} \left({}^e\rho \otimes \mathrm{St}_{Q^-}^{P(\rho)_{\mathrm{S}}^-} \right) \otimes \chi_\psi \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}(\rho)_{\mathrm{S}}^-}^{\widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}} \left({}^e\rho \otimes \chi_\psi \otimes \mathrm{St}_{Q^-}^{P(\rho)_{\mathrm{S}}^-} \right).$$

Inducing from $\widetilde{P}_{\mathrm{S}}^-$ to $\widetilde{G}$ and using transitivity of induction, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}_{\mathrm{S}}^-}^{\widetilde{G}} (\sigma \otimes \chi_\psi) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}(\rho)_{\mathrm{S}}^- \ltimes N_{\mathrm{S}}^{-,*}}^{\widetilde{G}} \left({}^e\rho \otimes \chi_\psi \otimes \mathrm{St}_{Q^- \ltimes N_{\mathrm{S}}^-}^{P(\rho)_{\mathrm{S}}^- \ltimes N_{\mathrm{S}}^-} \right).$$

Let $\widetilde{\rho} := \rho \otimes \chi_\psi$; then $\widetilde{\rho}$ is a supersingular representation of $\widetilde{M}$ in the sense of Definition 4.4, and ${}^e\rho \otimes \chi_\psi \cong {}^e(\rho \otimes \chi_\psi) \cong {}^e\widetilde{\rho}$ where the latter is an extension of $\widetilde{\rho}$ to $\widetilde{M}(\rho)_{\mathrm{S}}$. Since the action of $\widetilde{T} \cap M'_{\alpha_n}$ on $\widetilde{\rho}$ is nontrivial, $\Pi(\rho)_{\mathrm{S}}$ is equal to $\Pi(\widetilde{\rho})$ and so

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}_{\mathrm{S}}^-}^{\widetilde{G}} (\sigma \otimes \chi_\psi) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}(\widetilde{\rho})^-}^{\widetilde{G}} \left({}^e\widetilde{\rho} \otimes \mathrm{St}_{Q^- \ltimes N_{\mathrm{S}}^-}^{P(\widetilde{\rho})^-} \right) = I(\widetilde{P}^- \ltimes N_{\mathrm{S}}^{-,*}, \widetilde{\rho}, \widetilde{Q}^- \ltimes N_{\mathrm{S}}^{-,*}).$$

□

We also have the following description of genuine principal series representations of $\widetilde{G}$:

Corollary 4.18. *Let σ be a genuine character of $\widetilde{T}$.*

(1) The length of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma)$ is at most 2^{n-1}, and is equal to 2^{n-1} if and only if $\sigma \circ \widetilde{\alpha}^\vee(x) = 1$ for every $x \in F^\times$ and every short root $\alpha \in \Pi$.

(2) The representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma)$ is irreducible if and only if, for every short root $\alpha \in \Pi$, there exists $x_\alpha \in F^\times$ such that $\sigma \circ \widetilde{\alpha}^\vee(x_\alpha) \neq 1$.

(3) Let σ' be a genuine character of $\widetilde{T}$ such that $\sigma \neq \sigma'$. Then $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma)$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma')$ are inequivalent.

Proof. Corollary 4.10 implies that the length of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma)$ is equal to the number of subsets of

$$\{\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathrm{S}} : \text{the image of } \widetilde{\alpha}^\vee \text{ acts trivially on } \sigma\},$$

giving (1) and (2) (alternatively, one may use Lemma 4.17 and Theorem 8.7 of [14]). Part (3) follows from a comparison of the composition factors using Corollary 4.10, together with injectivity of the map in Theorem 4.13. □

Remark 4.19. Recall the genuine character χ_ψ of $\widetilde{M}_{\mathrm{S}}$ from §2.7, and denote by the same symbol the restriction of that character to $\widetilde{T}$. The characters of T and the genuine characters of $\widetilde{T}$ are in bijection via $\xi \longmapsto \xi \otimes \chi_\psi$. For a fixed additive $\mathbb{C}$-character ψ of F, one can then express the criteria of Corollary 4.18 in terms of ξ. In fact, since $\det_{M_{\mathrm{S}}}(\alpha_i^\vee(x)) = 1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $x \in F^\times$, the value of χ_ψ on the image of $\widetilde{\alpha}_i^\vee$ is independent of ψ for each $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Therefore, the length of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\xi \otimes \chi_\psi)$ is independent of ψ. On the other hand, Corollary 4.18(3) shows that $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\xi \otimes \chi_\psi)$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^-}^{\widetilde{G}}(\xi \otimes \chi_{\psi_a})$ are equivalent if and only if $\chi_\psi \circ \widetilde{\alpha}_n^\vee(x) = \chi_{\psi_a} \circ \widetilde{\alpha}_n^\vee(x)$ for every $x \in F^\times$, i.e., if and only if $a \in (F^\times)^2$.

References

1. N. Abe, G. Henniart, F. Herzig, and M.-F. Vignéras, *A classification of irreducible admissible mod p representations of p-adic reductive groups*, ArXiv e-prints (2014), arXiv:1412.0737.
2. Noriyuki Abe, *On a classification of irreducible admissible modulo p representations of a p-adic split reductive group*, Compos. Math. **149** (2013), no. 12, 2139–2168. MR 3143708
3. L. Barthel and R. Livné, *Irreducible modular representations of* GL_2 *of a local field*, Duke Math. J. **75** (1994), no. 2, 261–292. MR 1290194 (95g:22030)
4. ———, *Modular representations of* GL_2 *of a local field: the ordinary, unramified case*, J. Number Theory **55** (1995), no. 1, 1–27. MR 1361556 (96m:22036)
5. Laurent Berger, *La correspondance de Langlands locale p-adique pour* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, Astérisque (2011), no. 339, Exp. No. 1017, viii, 157–180, Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2009/2010. Exposés 1012–1026. MR 2906353
6. Christophe Breuil, *Sur quelques représentations modulaires et p-adiques de* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. *I*, Compositio Math. **138** (2003), no. 2, 165–188. MR 2018825 (2004k:11062)
7. Jean-Luc Brylinski and Pierre Deligne, *Central extensions of reductive groups by* $\mathbf{K}_2$, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2001), no. 94, 5–85. MR 1896177 (2004a:20049)
8. Pierre Colmez, *Représentations de* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ *et* (ϕ, Γ)*-modules*, Astérisque (2010), no. 330, 281–509. MR 2642409 (2011j:11224)
9. Pierre Colmez, Gabriel Dospinescu, and Vytautas Paškūnas, *The p-adic local Langlands correspondence for* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, Camb. J. Math. **2** (2014), no. 1, 1–47. MR 3272011

10. Wee Teck Gan and Gordan Savin, *Representations of metaplectic groups I: epsilon dichotomy and local Langlands correspondence*, Compos. Math. **148** (2012), no. 6, 1655–1694. MR 2999299
11. W.T. Gan and F. Gao, *The Langlands-Weissman Program for Brylinski-Deligne extensions*, preprint, available http://www.math.nus.edu.sg/∼matgwt/L-BD (21 July 2015).pdf (2015).
12. Guy Henniart and Marie-France Vignéras, *Comparison of compact induction with parabolic induction*, Pacific J. Math. **260** (2012), no. 2, 457–495. MR 3001801
13. ———, *A Satake isomorphism for representations modulo p of reductive groups over local fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **701** (2015), 33–75. MR 3331726
14. Florian Herzig, *The classification of irreducible admissible mod p representations of a p-adic* GL_n, Invent. Math. **186** (2011), no. 2, 373–434. MR 2845621
15. ———, *A Satake isomorphism in characteristic p*, Compos. Math. **147** (2011), no. 1, 263–283. MR 2771132 (2012c:22020)
16. James E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 9, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978, Second printing, revised. MR 499562 (81b:17007)
17. Mark Kisin, *Deformations of* $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ *and* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ *representations*, Astérisque (2010), no. 330, 511–528. MR 2642410
18. George Lusztig and Jacques Tits, *The inverse of a Cartan matrix*, An. Univ. Timişoara Ser. Ştiinţ. Mat. **30** (1992), no. 1, 17–23. MR 1329156 (96c:22007)
19. Tony Ly, *Représentations de Steinberg modulo p pour un groupe réductif sur un corps local*, Pacific J. Math. **277** (2015), no. 2, 425–462. MR 3402357
20. Peter J. McNamara, *Principal series representations of metaplectic groups over local fields*, Multiple Dirichlet series, L-functions and automorphic forms, Progr. Math., vol. 300, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2012, pp. 299–327. MR 2963537
21. Alberto Mínguez, *ℓ-modular local theta correspondence: dual pairs of type II*, Automorphic Representations, Automorphic Forms, *L*-functions, and Related Topics, RIMS Kokyuroku, vol. 1617, Kyoto University, 2008, pp. 63–82.
22. Colette Mœglin, Marie-France Vignéras, and Jean-Loup Waldspurger, *Correspondances de Howe sur un corps p-adique*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1291, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. MR 1041060 (91f:11040)
23. Calvin C. Moore, *Group extensions of p-adic and adelic linear groups*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1968), no. 35, 157–222. MR 0244258 (39 #5575)
24. Vytautas Paškūnas, *The image of Colmez's Montreal functor*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **118** (2013), 1–191. MR 3150248
25. Laura Peskin, *A classification of the irreducible admissible genuine mod p representations of the metaplectic cover of p-adic SL(2)*, ArXiv e-prints (2014), arXiv:1412.0741.
26. R. Ranga Rao, *On some explicit formulas in the theory of Weil representation*, Pacific J. Math. **157** (1993), no. 2, 335–371. MR 1197062 (94a:22037)
27. Sug Woo Shin, *Geometric reductive dual pairs and a mod p theta correspondence*, preprint, available https://math.berkeley.edu/∼swshin/modpTheta.pdf.
28. ———, *Abelian varieties and Weil representations*, Algebra Number Theory **6** (2012), no. 8, 1719–1772. MR 3033526
29. T. A. Springer, *Linear algebraic groups*, second ed., Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009. MR 2458469 (2009i:20089)
30. Robert Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley groups*, Yale University, New Haven, Conn., 1968, Notes prepared by John Faulkner and Robert Wilson. MR 0466335 (57 #6215)
31. Marie-France Vignéras, *The right adjoint of the parabolic induction*, preprint, available http://webusers.imj-prg.fr/∼marie-france.vigneras/ordinaryfunctor2013oct.pdf (2013).
32. Martin H. Weissman, *Split metaplectic groups and their L-groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **696** (2014), 89–141. MR 3276164
33. ———, *L-groups and parameters for covering groups*, ArXiv e-prints (2015), arXiv:1507.01042.

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, 40 St. George Street, Toronto, ON M5S 2E4, Canada
E-mail address: karol@math.toronto.edu

Department of Mathematics, Weizmann Institute of Science, 234 Herzl Street, Rehovot, 7610001, Israel
E-mail address: laura.peskin@weizmann.ac.il